Q of the Earth from Crust to Core

Barbara Romanowicz

Department of Earth and Planetary Science

University of California, Berkeley

Brian J. Mitchell

Department of Earth and Atmosperic Sciences

Saint Louis University

February 2, 2007

Abstract

We present a review of our knowledge of the nature and distribution of attenuation in the Earth, with an emphasis on progress in the last ten years. We discuss frequency dependence of the quality factor Q in the Earth, 1D profiles and lateral variations in the crust and mantle, as well as the attenuation structure in the inner core. Much progress has been made recently in characterizing the lateral variations of attenuation in the crust and their relations to tectonics. Characterizing the nature and distribution of attenuation deeper in the Earth is still hampered by the need to separate elastic and anelastic effects on seismic wave amplitudes. Nevertheless, consistent and robust features are emerging in recent tomographic studies of attenuation in the upper 200-300 km of the mantle, which show that, in this depth range, lateral variations in attenuation are correlated with those in seismic velocities and with tectonics. This correlation fades out in the transition zone, where shear attenuation distribution bears more resemblance to velocity structure in the lowermost mantle. An unresolved issue is still a 20% discrepancy between the measurements of fundamental mode Rayleigh waves obtained using a propagating wave versus a normal mode approach. Regional studies of attenuation confirm the relation of Q with tectonics in the upper mantle, and very strong lateral variations in subduction zone areas. In the inner core, recent studies have confirmed the increase of Q with depth, and have found an intriguing hemispherical variation in Q_α at the top of

the inner core. Much of the attenuation in the inner core appears to be due to scattering.

1 INTRODUCTION

Seismic waves have long been known to attenuate at a rate greater than that predicted by geometrical spreading of their wave fronts. Knopoff (1964) pointed out more than four decades ago that much of that attenuation must occur because of intrinsic anelastic properties of the Earth. Were it not for that fortunate circumstance, waves generated by all past earthquakes, as noted by Knopoff, would still be reverberating in the Earth today. Since it redistributes rather than absorbs wave energy, scattering would not have the same effect.

A commonly used measure of the efficiency of wave propagation is the quality factor Q or its inverse, the internal friction Q^{-1}. The latter quantity is most often defined by the expression

$$Q^{-1} = \Delta E / 2\pi E_{max} \tag{1}$$

where ΔE is the elastic energy lost per cycle and E_{max} is the maximum elastic energy contained in a cycle. O'Connell and Budiansky (1978) proposed replacing maximum energy by average stored energy in equation 1, a change that requires the integer 4 replace 2 in that equation. That form of the definition permits writing Q as the ratio of the real to imaginary part of the complex elastic modulus. However, most seismologists use equation (1).

Because of its strong dependence on temperature, partial melting and water content, mapping anelastic attenuation in the Earth has the potential to provide valuable information on Earth's 3D structure and dynamics, in complement to what can be learned from mapping elastic velocities. A significant challenge is to separate the effects of anelastic (or intrinsic) attenuation from those of elastic scattering and focusing due to propagation in elastic 3D structure.

Practical aspects of seismic wave attenuation include the need to account for it when determining earthquake magnitudes and when computing realistic synthetic seismograms. In addition, seismologists must know the attenuation structure in the Earth if they wish to determine dispersion that is produced by anelasticity (Jeffreys, 1967; Liu et al., 1976). The principle of causality requires that such velocity dispersion accompany intrinsic attenuation (Lomnitz, 1957; Futterman, 1962; Strick, 1967).

The following sections present a review of our current knowledge about seismic wave attenuation from earliest studies to the present. It is a rapidly growing field for which measurements have only recently

become sufficiently numerous and reliable to allow mapping at relatively small scales. It has consistently been observed that measurement errors are large, and it has recently become clear that systematic, rather than random, errors are the greatest cause of concern in Q determinations.

A major consideration is the frequency dependence of Q. We thus begin by describing the state of understanding of this issue. Next we discuss one-dimensional models of Q: even now, only the average variation of Q with depth is reasonably constrained in the deep Earth. Following that, we will discuss regional variations of Q in the upper-mantle and in the crust, for which robust constraints are beginning to emerge. Earlier reviews on this topic can be found in Mitchell (1995) for the crust, Romanowicz (1998) for the mantle and, most recently, Romanowicz and Durek (2000) for the whole Earth.

2 Frequency dependence of Q

Determinations available in the 1960's from seismic observations and laboratory measurements suggested that Q was only weakly dependent on frequency (Knopoff, 1964). That conclusion, however, conflicted with the frequency dependence known for single relaxation mechanisms that exhibit rather narrowly peaked spectra for Q^{-1} centered at a characteristic frequency. Liu et al. (1976) reconciled those two observations by considering that, over the seismic frequency band in the Earth, Q^{-1} consists of a superposition of many thermally activated relaxation mechanisms for which maxima occurred at different frequencies. That superposition produces a continuous absorption band with a nearly frequency-independent Q^{-1} distribution in the seismic frequency band (Figure 1). The high and low frequency limits of the absorption band are described by relaxation times τ_1 and τ_2 and result in the following expression for the absorption band model (Kanamori and Anderson, 1977):

$$Q^{-1}(\omega) = (2/\pi)Q_m^{-1}tan^{-1}\left[\omega(\tau_1 - \tau_2)/(1 + \omega^2\tau_1\tau_2)\right] \tag{2}$$

where Q_m represents the maximum within the absorption band. A more realistic distribution of relaxation times yields a mild frequency dependence $Q \sim \omega^\alpha$ within the absorption band (Minster and Anderson, 1981; Mueller, 1986), and $Q^{-1} \sim \omega^{+1}$ at the higher end of the absorption band and $Q^{-1} \sim \omega^{-1}$ at the low end (Minster and Anderson, 1981). Measurements of attenuation of multiple ScS phases (Sipkin and Jordan, 1979) and other body waves (e.g. Sacks, 1980; Lundquist and Cormier, 1980; Der et al., 1982; Ulug and Berckhemer, 1984) have provided evidence that the high frequency corner is located in

the band 0.1 to 1 Hz. A later model (Anderson and Given, 1982) constructed to explain variations of Q for various depths in the Earth from the comparison of surface wave, free oscillation and body wave data, obtained a single absorption band with $Q_m = 80$, $\alpha = 0.15$ and a width of five decades centered at different frequencies for different depths in the mantle, with a shift of the absorption band to longer periods at greater depth. Such a model could satisfy many of the known values of Q in the early 1980's. In particular, $\alpha = 0.15$ is compatible with a study of the damping of the Chandler wobble (Smith and Dahlen, 1981).

Many years of body wave studies have since constrained the frequency dependence $Q \sim \omega^\alpha$ in the body wave band to have $\alpha \sim 0.1 - 1$. Ulug and Berckhemer (1984) used spectral ratios of S/P waves in the frequency range 0.04-1.5 Hz and found $\alpha \sim 0.25 - 0.6$. This frequency range was extended to 6 Hz by Cheng and Kennett (2002), who found $\alpha \sim 0.2 - 1$ in Australia. Flanagan and Wiens (1998) found a factor of two difference in Q measured from sS/S and pP/P phase pairs in the Lau backarc region, implying a strong frequency dependence in the 1 to 10 sec period range, and inferred $\alpha \sim 0.1 - 0.3$.

Recently, Shito et al. (2004) used regional waveform data in western Pacific subduction zones to estimate α from P-P spectral ratios in the frequency range 0.08-8 Hz and found a range of $\alpha \sim 0.1 - 0.4$, which is in agreement with laboratory studies for solid olivine (e.g. Gueguen et al., 1989; Jackson, 2000) and seems to indicate that solid state processes are the primary mechanism for observed attenuation. Indeed, according to laboratory results, partial melting results in weak frequency dependence in a broad frequency range (e.g. Jackson et al., 2004), which is inconsistent with these observations.

In order to reconcile teleseismic $S - P$ differential travel times measured by hand-picking, on the one hand, and by comparison of observed and synthetic seismograms, on the other, **?** found that a frequency dependence with $\alpha \sim 0.04$ needs to be introduced in the reference PREM Q model (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), a value much smaller than in other studies.

At crustal depths, the frequency dependence of Q is usually described by the equation $Q = Q_o f^\zeta$ where Q_o is a reference frequency and ζ is the frequency dependence parameter. For shear-wave Q (Q_μ), it appears to be between about 0.0 and 1.0 and varies regionally, with depth in the crust, and with frequency, as will be described in more detail in a later section.

An important consequence of the frequency dependence of Q in the Earth is the presence of velocity dispersion due to attenuation. Velocity dispersion was first recognized to be important when comparing global mantle elastic velocity models based on free oscillation and body wave travel time data centered

4

at 1 s. A dispersion correction of 0.5-1.5% helped reconcile these models (Liu et al., 1976; Kanamori and Anderson, 1977) and has been applied systematically in global seismology (e.g. Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), although Montagner and Kennett (1996) showed that attenuation alone is insufficient to reconcile both types of data and suggested the need to perturb the density structure and introduce radial anisotropy in different parts of the mantle. In the presence of large lateral variations in Q in the upper mantle, which could be in excess of 100%, it is also necessary to account for velocity dispersion when interpreting global tomographic models in the light of other geophysical data, such as the geoid, as illustrated by Romanowicz (1990) in the case of very long wavelength structure. Karato (1993) estimated the contribution of anelasticity to the calculation of partial derivatives of elastic velocities with temperature, and concluded that it should be important in the deep mantle, as anelastic effects might dominate anharmonic effects at high pressures, a conclusion confirmed by Karato and Karki (2001), who used an improved model for the calculation of anelastic effects, including the non-linear dependence of attenuation on temperature.

In order to interpret seismologically-derived 1D and 3D Q models in the Earth, it is necessary to confront them with laboratory experiments, which should provide constraints on: 1) the physical mechanisms responsible for attenuation (i.e. grain boundary or dislocation processes, (e.g. Jackson, 1993; Karato, 1998) and the related frequency dependence; 2) the dependence of Q on temperature and pressure. Unfortunately, this has proven to be a major challenge, particularly for the deep mantle, due to the difficulties of reproducing in the laboratory both the high P and high T conditions, the low frequency range of seismic observations, and the location of the absorption band far from the frequency range of ultrasonic experiments, preventing ready extrapolation to seismic frequencies.

The variation of Q_μ with temperature can be expressed as (e.g. Jackson, 1993; Karato, 1993):

$$Q^{-1} = A\omega^{-\alpha}exp(-\alpha H/RT) \tag{3}$$

where $H = E^* + PV^*$ is the activation enthalpy, which depends on pressure through the activation volume V^*, a quantity that is not precisely known. One way to circumvent this is to parametrize this expression in terms of homologous temperature T_m/T (e.g. Sato et al., 1989; Karato, 1993; Cammarano et al., 2003):

$$Q^{-1} = A\omega^{-\alpha}exp(-\alpha g T_m/T) \tag{4}$$

where g is a dimensionless factor, which depends on H, the melting temperature T_m and the gas constant R. Such a parametrization removes the need for accurate knowledge of the activation volume, and also allows use of a simple scaling law to relate grain size to frequency (Sato et al., 1989). The pressure dependence is folded into T_m, which is easier to measure in the laboratory.

Much progress in the measurement of attenuation at seismically relevant frequencies has been achieved in the last 15 years (e.g. Jackson, 1993; Karato and Spetzler, 1990; Getting et al., 1997; Faul et al., 2004). Recently, reliable results for olivine have become available at pressures and temperatures down to asthenospheric depths. These advances are described in more detail in chapter xx of Volume xx (Jackson, 2007).

3 EARLY STUDIES

Although seismic wave attenuation did not become a popular area of research until the 1970's, the first contributions appeared not long after global deployments of seismographs in the early 1900's. Here we describe several important studies completed before 1970, which, in addition to providing the first estimates of Q for several phases, provided the first inklings of the difficulties associated with amplitude measurements.

G.H. Angenheister, working in Göttingen, Germany in 1906, reported the first known measurements of the attenuation rate of a seismic wave. Instruments at that time were still primitive and surface waves consequently dominated most seismograms recorded by Göttingen's Wiechert seismometers. Angenheister (1906) used records from those instruments to measure the amplitude decay of 20-s surface waves for three different segments of the same great-circle path and found the decay rate to be about 0.00025/km. For group velocities near 3 km/s that rate corresponds to a Q value of about 200, a value implying that the measured attenuation coefficient lies within the range of commonly measured 20-s values today. He later published what was probably the first report of regional variations of surface-wave attenuation (Angenheister, 1921) in which he found that the decay of surface-wave amplitudes along oceanic paths was greater than that along continental paths. This result holds only for those cases where attenuation over relatively low-Q oceanic paths is compared to attenuation over high-Q continental paths (Mitchell, 1995).

B. Gutenberg also made some early determinations of Q using surface waves. He determined a Q value of 70 for Love waves at 100-s period (Gutenberg, 1924), and a value of 200 for Rayleigh waves at 20-s

period (Gutenberg, 1945b). The latter value corresponds well with Angenheister's earlier measurement of Rayleigh-wave attenuation at that period. Gutenberg also determined Q for body phases, first finding a Q of 1300 for 4-s P and PKP waves (Gutenberg, 1945a). He then measured Q for three body-wave phases at different periods (Gutenberg, 1958) finding Q's of 2500 for P and PP at 2 s, and 400 for P and PP at 12 s. In the same study he also measured Q for S waves finding values of 700 at 12 s and 400 at 24 s. Press (1956) also determined Q for S waves finding it to be 500 or less.

Evernden (1955) studied the arrival directions of SV-, Rayleigh- and Love-wave phases using a tripartite array in California and found that all of those phases deviated from great-circle paths between the events and the array. Although Evernden did not address Q he brought attention to the fact that seismic waves may deviate from a great-circle path during propagation, a problem that continues, to this day, to plague determinations of Q from amplitude measurements.

The 1960's produced the first definitive evidence for lateral variations of body-wave Q even over relatively small distances. Asada and Takano (1963), in a study of the predominant periods of teleseismic phases recorded in Japan, found that those periods differed at two closely spaced stations and attributed that to differences in crustal Q. Ichikawa and Basham (1965) and Utsu (1967), studied spectral amplitudes at 0.5-3.0 Hz frequencies and concluded that P-wave absorption beneath a seismic station at Resolute, Canada was greater than that beneath other stations in northern Canada.

Tryggvason (1965) devised a least-squares method for simultaneously obtaining Rayleigh- wave attenuation coefficients and source amplitudes using several stations located at varying distances from an explosive source. Since he assumed the source radiation pattern in this method to be circular he had no need to determine that pattern or know the crustal velocity structure. In the same year Anderson et al. (1965) developed equations that allowed measured surface-wave attenuation to be inverted for models of shear-wave Q (Q_μ) variation with depth and applied it to long-period surface waves that were sensitive to anelasticity at upper mantle depths.

Sutton et al. (1967), studied radiation patterns for Pg and Lg phases recorded at several stations in the United States and found that focusing and regional attenuation differences affected both waves. They concluded that the nature of the tectonic provinces traversed by the waves was more important than initial conditions at the source in determining the observed radiation patterns.

4   1-D GLOBAL MANTLE Q MODELS

Our knowledge of the 1-D Q structure of the Earth comes primarily from two types of data: normal modes/surface waves on the one hand, multiple ScS on the other. As noted in a previous review of this topic (Romanowicz and Durek, 2000), early studies based on normal mode and surface wave data developed measurement and inversion methodologies and established the main features of the variation of Q_μ with depth (e.g. Anderson and Archambeau, 1966; Kanamori, 1970; Roult, 1975; Gilbert and Dziewonski, 1975; Jobert and Roult, 1976; Deschamps, 1977; Sailor and Dziewonski, 1978; Buland and Gilbert, 1978; Geller and Stein, 1978). These studies found that:

1. shear wave attenuation is low in the lithosphere,

2. there is a high attenuation zone roughly corresponding to the low-velocity zone generally associated with the asthenosphere,

3. below 200 km depth, Q_μ increases with depth with a sharp gradient across the transition zone,

4. Q_μ is higher on average in the lower mantle than in the upper mantle.

Nearly vertically travelling multiple ScS waves provided constraints on the average Q_μ in the whole mantle (e.g. Kovach and Anderson, 1964; Yoshida and Tsujiura, 1975) and confirmed the increase in Q_μ in the mid-mantle. Studies of amplitude ratios of body wave phases interacting with the core-mantle boundary provided early evidence for a possible lower Q_μ zone at the base of the mantle (e.g. Kuster, 1972; Mitchell and Helmberger, 1973). To accommodate both normal mode and body wave observations, Anderson and Hart (1976, 1978) proposed a model with a Q_μ maximum in the lower-mantle, a feature that has successively appeared and disappeared in subsequent whole mantle models based on normal mode and surface wave data (Masters and Gilbert, 1983; Smith and Masters, 1989; D. Giardini and Woodhouse, 1988; Li, 1990; Okal and Jo, 2002; Widmer et al., 1991). Some bulk attenuation is necessary to simultaneously fit high Q radial mode data and surface wave data, and some models such as SL8 (Anderson and Hart, 1978) and the widely used PREM (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981) located it in the inner core, while others preferred to place it in the upper mantle (e.g. Sailor and Dziewonski, 1978), and, more recently, in the asthenosphere (Durek and Ekström, 1995).

In the last decade, a problematic discrepancy has emerged between the measurements of fundamental mode Q_μ obtained for spheroidal modes using a propagating wave approach (e.g. Dziewonski and Steim,

1982; Romanowicz, 1990, 1994a; Durek et al., 1993; Durek and Ekström, 1996) and those using a standing wave approach (Smith and Masters, 1989; Widmer et al., 1991; Roult and Clévédé, 2000). In the period band 150-300s, where measurements by the two methods overlap, Q_R estimates derived from standing wave observations are systematically higher than those derived from surface waves by about 15-20%, which translates into higher Q_μ in the transition zone for models based on free oscillation data (Figure 2). The cause of this discrepancy was first investigated by Durek and Ekström (1997) who explored the influence of realistic background noise on normal mode based measurements, which require the use of long time series. This issue is particularly relevant in the light of the discovery of continuously excited background free oscillations (e.g. Suda et al., 1998). Durek and Ekström (1997) considered several different Q measurement methods: one based on fitting of amplitude or complex spectra, and another classical method based on measuring the decay of the amplitude of a given mode with time. While the background noise does contribute to a bias towards higher Q values, if measurements are done with care, the bias does not exceed about 5-10%, failing to explain the larger observed discrepancy. On the other hand, Masters and Laske (1997) questioned the accuracy of surface wave Q measurements at very long periods, pointing out the difficulty in finding an appropriate time window isolating the fundamental mode in the presence of overlapping wavetrains and overtones. A more recent study by Roult and Clévédé (2000) confirms the higher Q values obtained from mode-based measurements (Figure 2). These authors carefully assessed various factors contributing to the uncertainty in mode Q measurements performed using the amplitude decay method, in particular length of time windows for the computation of spectra, and number of time steps for amplitude measurements of individual modes. They also considered the effect of lateral heterogeneity, which they modelled using a higher order perturbation theory that includes multiple scattering (e.g. Lognonné and Romanowicz, 1990). They concluded that mode Q measurements are more reliable than measurements based on great circle surface wave amplitude ratios, which they attribute to contamination by higher modes and to the relative deficiency in low frequencies of the first arriving R1 train. We note however that 1) their synthetic tests do indicate some bias towards higher Q's when lateral heterogeneity is added in the normal mode case, 2) their synthetic tests only consider very smooth models of lateral heterogeneity, for which scattering effects are very weak; 3) the QL6 model of Durek and Ekström (1996) was derived from travelling surface wave data using a different method than tested by Roult and Clévédé (2000): the method used was a time domain (rather than spectral domain) method where the Q model was derived by estimating the best transfer function from one Rayleigh wave train to the one that travelled over an additional great circle path. QL6 (Figures 2, 3) not only fits surface

9

wave data better than mode-based models such as QM1 (Widmer et al., 1991), but it also fits toroidal mode Q data better than both QM1 and PREM (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981). Therefore, it seems that the question of the mode/surface wave discrepancy has not been resolved yet. A recent study by Resovsky et al. (2005) revisited the construction of a 1D Q model of the Earth using a forward modelling approach based on the neighborhood algorithm, and produced a family of acceptable models with robust error estimates. Their mode dataset was augmented through recent measurements of the attenuation of low angular order modes(e.g. Resovsky and Ritzwoller, 1998; He and Tromp, 1996). They circumvented the mode/surface wave discrepancy problem by including in their dataset both fundamental mode surface wave and spheroidal mode measurements in the period range 150-300 s, and attributing a low weight to these incompatible data. In some experiments, they even excluded both of these datasets. Their final family of models appears to be in good agreement, on average, with the surface wave based model QL6, in particular in the transition zone (Figure 3). Resovsky et al. (2005) also revisit the question of the location of bulk attenuation in the Earth and find it negligible in the inner core and preferentially located in the outer core and lower mantle.

While PREM and QL6 have constant Q_μ in the lower mantle, the Resovsky et al. (2005) study tentatively includes 3 layers in the lower mantle and confirms the presence of a Q_μ maximum in the mid-lower mantle, as was found in earlier models (SL8, Anderson and Hart; PAR2C, Okal and Jo (2002) ; QM1, Widmer et al. (1991); Q7U15L, Durek and Ekström (1996), see the review by Romanowicz and Durek (2000)). What is perhaps not yet well constrained, is the precise location of this maximum. Recently, Lawrence and Wysession (2006) developed a Q_μ model based on a large global dataset of differential ScS/S amplitude measurements, using a niching genetic algorithm to fit the variations of these amplitudes with distance. Because of the nature of their data, their model (QLM9, figure 3) is best constrained in the lower mantle, so they chose to fix the top 400 km of the mantle to the PREM value. The resulting model also provides evidence for the existence of a Q_μ maximum in the lower mantle, in this case right above the D" region. However, the high Q values which they obtain in the transition zone are incompatible with fundamental mode spheroidal and toroidal data (Figure 2, left). Replacing their upper mantle with that of QL6 solves this problem (Figure 2, right), and little adjustment is needed in the lower mantle to fit the ScS/S amplitude data (Lawrence, personal communication). A joint inversion of mode and ScS/S datasets is underway, and may, in particular, provide some constraints on the frequency dependence of Q as well as shed further light on the surface wave/mode discrepancy for fundamental modes.

New constraints on average shear and bulk attenuation in the Earth and the core may be forthcoming owing to the high quality digital dataset assembled in the last 16 years on the global broadband seismic network, and owing to the occurrence of several very large earthquakes, especially the great Sumatra earthquake of Dec 26, 2004. These data are providing an opportunity to revisit the Q's of the gravest modes of the Earth, in particular the radial mode $_0S_0$, and for the first time, measure the Q of individual singlets of modes such as $_0S_2$ or $_2S_1$ (e.g. Rosat et al., 2005; Roult et al., 2006).

5 Q IN THE CORE

5.1   The outer core

In the liquid outer core, Q is very high, and generally well approximated by $Q_\kappa \sim \infty$, to fit both free oscillation and body wave data. Finite Q_κ is, however, required to explain some free oscillation data in the outer core, but the depth range where it is located is still the subject of debate (Anderson and Given, 1982; Widmer et al., 1991; Durek and Ekström, 1996; Resovsky et al., 2005) and, in general, the upper mantle and/or the inner core are preferred locations for finite Q_κ. Likewise, while some evidence for finite Q_κ from short period core phases has been suggested citep[e.g.][]qamar74, it is not clear that it is resolvable (Cormier and Richards, 1976).

5.2   Attenuation in the inner core

Attenuation in the inner core is investigated using inner core sensitive modes as well as spectral amplitude ratios of different core phases. Topics that have been the subject of recent work include:

- the compatibility of normal mode and body wave measurements, and implications for the frequency dependence of Q in the inner core;

- variations with depth;

- anisotropy;

- hemispherical variations at the top of the inner core.

From early on, measurements of amplitude ratios of *PKP(DF)* (sampling the inner core) and *PKP(BC)* (sampling the outer core) constrained Q_α in the top 500 km of the inner core to be in the range 200-600

(e.g. Sacks, 1969; Doornbos, 1974; Bolt, 1977; Cormier, 1981; Doornbos, 1983; Choy and Cormier, 1983; Niazi and Johnson, 1992; J. Bhattacharyya et al., 1993; Song and Helmberger, 1993; T. L. Tseng and Chin, 2001; Ivan et al., 2005) and most studies have suggested an increase of Q_α with depth. Others have suggested that Q_α is constant with depth (e.g. Niazi and Johnson, 1992; J. Bhattacharyya et al., 1993). In these studies, the sampling depth range was limited by the narrow distance range in which the phase *PKP(BC)* is observed. Extending the depth range sampled by previous studies to 600km by including diffracted *PKP(BC)* phases, Souriau and Roudil (1995) proposed a two-layered model with $Q_\alpha \sim 200$ in the topmost 100 km of the inner core, and $Q_\alpha \sim 440$ below. Cormier et al. (1998) designed a new approach that allowed them to investigate the variation of Q_α deeper in the inner core, by comparing observed and synthetic *PKIKP* waveforms in the distance range $150 - 180^o$. The synthetic waveforms are built using the source time history of each earthquake, determined from the analysis of P waveforms, and include the effects of mantle attenuation. In a recent improved implementation of this method, which takes into account surface reflections and reverberations near the source, Li and Cormier (2002) obtained a mean for the inner core of Q_α 307 ± 90 and much stronger attenuation in the top 300 km of the inner core. Testing the hypothesis of an innermost inner core, Cormier and Stroujkova (2006) found a rapid change in the magnitude and variance of seismic attenuation of $PKIKP$ in the middle of the inner core, in the interval 400-600 km in Earth radius (Figure 4).

Normal modes primarily provide constraints on Q_β, and Q_β and Q_α are related through (Anderson and Hart (1978)):

$$Q_\alpha^{-1} = \frac{4}{3}(V_S/V_P)^2 Q_\beta^{-1} + \left[1 - \frac{4}{3}(V_S/V_P)^2\right] Q_\kappa^{-1} \tag{5}$$

If there was no significant attenuation in bulk, and no frequency dependence, the inferred Q_β from body wave studies would be on the order of ~ 40. Confronting this value with measurements based on inner core sensitive normal mode data has resulted in some puzzles. Early normal mode studies found very large values of Q_μ (1500-3500) based on the measurement of attenuation of spheroidal core modes (Buland and Gilbert, 1978; Masters and Gilbert, 1981) whereas most more recent models have much lower Q_μ, such as PREM ($Q_\mu = 85$ (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), or QM1 ($Q_\mu = 110$, (Widmer et al., 1991), which is also compatible with measurements of D. Giardini and Woodhouse (1988). On the other hand, applying the Sompi method (Kumazawa et al., 1990), Suda and Fukao (1990) measured Q_μ of modes sensitive to shear in the top part of the inner core and found very large values and an increase with

depth, with $Q_\mu = 1500$ in the top 200 km and $Q_\mu = 3800$ at greater depth. However, their identification of modes has been questioned and, recently, Andrews et al. (2006) have shown that measurements of inner core Q_μ could be biased by neglecting mode coupling. Through the comparison of observations and synthetic predictions including mode coupling effects, these authors found that models with very high Q_μ are incompatible with the observations, which favor moderate values of $Q_\mu \sim 80 - 100$. While such moderate values are now established in the top part of the inner core, where normal modes concentrate their sensitivity, a recent observation of $PKJKP$, which samples deep into the inner core (Cao et al., 2005), has provided the opportunity to estimate the average Q_μ in the inner core, which was found to be > 150 (Cao, 2005), and which would imply an increase with depth, like that for Q_α. This result, however, needs to be confirmed by additional observations of $PKJKP$.

Even the lowest proposed values for Q_μ currently favored are incompatible with the Q_α results, unless one invokes either: 1) significant bulk attenuation in the inner core or: 2) significant frequency dependence of Q or: 3) a significant scattering component. The rather low value for Q_α found is compatible with the existence of a mushy zone in the top part of the inner core, whose presence is related to the process of solidification of iron alloy (Loper and Roberts, 1981; Fearn et al., 1981; Loper and Fean, 1983) involving a solid matrix and fluid inclusions. This would result primarily in compressional attenuation and could explain some of the observations of seismic anisotropy in the inner core (e.g. Singh et al., 2000). Bowers (2000) cautioned that the large scatter observed in Q_α measurements from PKP amplitude ratios could be due to the effects of lateral variations in structure near the CMB, and in particular the presence of ultra-low velocity zones. On the other hand, Krasnoshchekov et al. (2005) suggested that variability in the amplitudes of ICB reflected $PKiKP$ phases could be due to a mosaic of partially molten patches of scale length 10-100 km.

5.2.1 Hemispherical Variations

Another observation in favor of the presence of a mushy transition zone at the top of the inner core is that of hemispherical differences, first observed in elastic velocity using differential travel time measurements of the pair of phases $PKiKP$, post-critically reflected at the inner core boundary and $PKIKP$, which, in the distance range $120^o - 144^o$ samples the top $\sim 100km$ of the inner core (Tanaka and Hamaguchi, 1997; Creager, 1999; Niu and Wen, 2001; Garcia, 2002). These phases have almost the same ray paths in the mantle and in the outer core, so the differences in travel times and amplitudes can be attributed

to the vicinity of the ICB. Indeed, in a study covering the epicentral distance range $131^o - 141^o$, the faster eastern hemisphere (40^oE to 180^oE) was also found to have lower Q than the slower western hemisphere (Wen and Niu, 2002). In order to better constrain the depth dependence of the hemispherical variations in Q, Cao and Romanowicz (2004) extended the epicentral distance range to 144°, and found large differences in Q_α, with $Q_\alpha \sim 335$ in the western hemisphere and ~ 160 in the eastern hemisphere, which they interpreted as resulting from small lateral temperature variations at the top of the inner core. Such temperature variations could be imposed on the inner core by heterogeneities at the core-mantle boundary (e.g. Sumita and Olson, 1999) and would influence the connectivity of fluid inclusions in the mushy zone. Below about 85 km depth the hemispherical differences appeared to wane. However, several studies found evidence for persisting differences at greater depth. Oreshin and Vinnik (2004) measured spectral ratios of $PKP(DF)$ and either $PKP(AB)$ or $PKP(BC)$ in the distance range $150^o - 170^o$ and found differences in Q between the hemispheres to a distance of 155°. Yu and Wen (2006) combined observations of $PKiKP/PKIKP$ in the distance range $120^o - 141^o$ and PKP(BC)/PKIKP in the distance range $146^o - 160^o$, along equatorial paths and proposed that they could resolve hemispherical differences in Q down to at least 200 km (Figure 5). However, in the distance range $149 - 155^o$, $PKP(BC)$ interferes with $PKiKP$, causing large scatter in the data. On the other hand, Li and Cormier (2002) did not find evidence for such hemispherical variations in the deeper inner core using $PKIKP$ data at larger distances.

5.2.2 Anisotropic attenuation

The presence of velocity anisotropy is well documented for the inner core (e.g. Morelli et al., 1986; Woodhouse and Wong, 1986; Creager, 1992; Shearer, 1994; Vinnik et al., 1994; Su and Dziewonski, 1990). Theoretical studies indicate that anisotropy in attenuation should accompany anisotropy in velocity, and several authors have noted the stronger attenuation of $PKP(DF)$ phases travelling along polar paths (Creager, 1992; Song and Helmberger, 1993). Souriau and Romanowicz (1996) examined a carefully selected dataset of $PKP(DF)/PKP(BC)$ amplitude ratios for paths whose turning points were located under western Africa, and for which good azimuthal coverage was available. They found a significant correlation between high attenuation and high velocity and inferred that the origin of anisotropy in the top half of the inner core must be due to the orientation of iron crystals, which would produce this type of correlation (Carcione and Cavallini, 1995) rather than fluid inclusions, which would result in correlation of high velocity with low attenuation (Peacock and Hudson, 1990). These results were subsequently

extended to a global dataset (Souriau and Romanowicz, 1997) and to antipodal paths (Cormier et al., 1998). The latter result was confirmed by Li and Cormier (2002) who suggested that anisotropy in attenuation also varies with depth. As will be seen below, these authors' preferred interpretation involves scattering. Oreshin and Vinnik (2004) confirmed the correlation of anisotropy in attenuation with that in velocity, which, however, may not be present at high latitudes (Helffrich et al. (2002)).

5.2.3 Causes for attenuation in the inner core

In a series of papers Cormier and collaborators recently explored two different interpretations for the attenuation in the inner core invoking a visco-elastic and scattering mechanism, respectively (Cormier et al., 1998; Li and Cormier, 2002; Cormier and Li, 2002). In the visco-elastic interpretation, they considered the absorption band model of Cormier and Richards (1988) and, through a parameter search approach, sought to constrain the low frequency corner τ_1 as well as the peak attenuation Q_m^{-1} and the attenuation at 1 Hz (Li and Cormier, 2002). While constraining τ_1 turned out to be problematic, they found that the data are consistent with frequency dependent attenuation in the inner core and weak velocity dispersion in the seismic body wave band. The existence of scattering, at least at the top of the inner core, was clearly documented by Vidale and Earle (2000) through the modeling of $PKiKP$ coda. In the scattering interpretation, Cormier and Li (2002) investigated a model of inner core attenuation due to forward scattering by three-dimensional fabric caused by solidification texturing, which could also be responsible for the observed depth dependent inner core anisotropy. They found a mean scale length of heterogeneity of $9.8 \pm 2.4 km$ and a mean velocity perturbation of $8.4 \pm 1.8\%$. They confirmed the depth dependence found in the visco- elastic interpretation, but with a sharper transition between the highly attenuating upper part of the inner core and the lower attenuating center (e.g. Figure 5). They suggest that scattering plays a dominant role in attenuating inner core traversing phases in the frequency band 0.02-2 Hz, as it can also explain elastic and anelastic anisotropy and their depth dependence and helps reconcile the body wave and normal mode Q measurements.

6 Global 3D attenuation structure in the upper mantle

6.1 Normal modes and long period surface waves

6.1.1 Early studies

In the 1970's, after the occurrence of the 1960 great Chile earthquake (Mw 9.6), and with the accumulation of data from the WWSSN network, measurements of long-period surface wave attenuation, then based on relatively few records, showed large disagreements (e.g. Ben-Menahem, 1965; Smith, 1972; Mills and Hales, 1978; Jobert and Roult, 1976). At that time it was realized that 1) elastic effects were important in limiting the accuracy of Q measurements; 2) anelastic attenuation caused frequency dependence of elastic velocities, which needed to be taken into account (Luh, 1974; Randall, 1976; Liu et al., 1976; Akopyan et al., 1976; Kanamori and Anderson, 1977); 3) large systematic lateral variations existed, correlated with those of phase velocities and suggesting significant differences in attenuation under different tectonic regions, with low attenuation under shields and high attenuation under oceans and tectonically active provinces (e.g. Nakanishi, 1978, 1979b, 1981; Lee and Solomon, 1979; Roult, 1982; Dziewonski and Steim, 1982).

While most upper mantle 3D Q models up to now, as we will discuss below, have been based on surface waveform or amplitude data, several authors attempted to measure lateral variations in Q from normal mode data, which in principle should provide sensitivity at greater depths in the mantle. Because the mode amplitude dataset is very contaminated by elastic effects (e.g. Smith and Masters, 1989) it was possible to recover only the longest wavelengths in the even degree structure (Romanowicz et al., 1987; Roult et al., 1984; Suda et al., 1991). The most important result of these early studies is the presence of a long wavelength, degree two, structure in Q_μ in the transition zone, shifted towards the west with respect to the degree two in the shallower mantle, with lowest Q centered in the central Pacific ocean and under Africa.

6.1.2 Anelasticity and focusing

One of the main issues limiting resolution in global mantle attenuation tomography is the contamination of amplitudes by elastic focusing effects. Numerous cases of such contamination in Earth circling mantle waves have been reported. For example, for moderate size earthquakes, for which the effect of source directivity can be ruled out, one expects successive Rayleigh wave trains to gradually decrease in am-

plitude, due to the effects of attenuation and geometrical spreading. However, it is sometimes observed that an R_{n+1} train has larger amplitude than R_n, where n is the orbit number of the Rayleigh wave (e.g. Lay and Kanamori, 1985; Romanowicz, 1987, 2002), and such observations can be qualitatively reproduced by including focusing effects in synthetic seismograms obtained by normal mode summation (e.g. Romanowicz, 1987). Also in the frequency domain, long-period surface wave amplitude spectra are often irregular, with many "holes" that cannot be attributed to intrinsic attenuation or source effects (e.g. Romanowicz, 1994a).

To first order, in the framework of single scattering and in the high frequency approximation, analytical expressions have been obtained for the focusing effect, both in a propagating wave formalism (Woodhouse and Wong, 1986) and in a normal mode asymptotic formalism (Romanowicz, 1987; Park, 1987). Using asymptotic approximations in the framework of normal mode theory, it was shown that focusing effects depend on the transverse gradients of elastic structure, as expressed in terms of phase velocity perturbations in the case of propagating waves, and of local frequency perturbations, in the case of normal modes. The expressions obtained in both approaches are equivalent (Romanowicz, 1987). In the propagating surface wave case and in the frequency domain:

$$ln\delta A_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}cosec\Delta \cdot \tag{6}$$

$$\int_0^\Delta [sin(\Delta - \phi)sin\phi\partial_\theta^2 - cos(\Delta - 2\phi)\partial_\phi]\frac{\delta c}{c_0}(\omega)d\phi$$

where Δ is epicentral distance, ϕ is the angular distance along the source-station great circle path, $\frac{\delta c}{c_0}$ is the relative perturbation in phase velocity along the path and $\delta A_F(\omega)$ is the contribution to the amplitude at frequency ω due to focusing.

In the standing wave case and time domain, for an isotropic source:

$$\delta F_K(\Delta) = \frac{a\Delta}{U}\left(\frac{\hat{D}_K - \tilde{D}_K}{2k} + \frac{cot\Delta}{8k}(\delta\hat{\omega} - \delta\tilde{\omega})\right) \tag{7}$$

where $F = (1 + \delta F_K(\Delta))$ is the perturbation to the time domain amplitude of the seismogram for multiplet K, $\delta\hat{\omega}$ and $\delta\tilde{\omega}$ are the perturbations to the great circle average and minor arc average local frequencies, respectively, $k = l + 1/2$ where l is the angular order of the mode, and we have defined:

17

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{\Delta} \partial_T(\delta\omega_K(s))ds$$

$$\hat{D} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_T(\delta\omega_K(s))ds \tag{8}$$

where ∂_T denotes the second transverse derivative along the great circle path, which reduces to the integrand in equation (6). Accounting for the radiation pattern in equations (7) is equivalent to using equations (6) together with ray tracing.

Because the focusing effects are so strongly dependent on the transverse gradients of the elastic structure, and because those are not generally well constrained, compounded by the fact that these expressions are approximate and valid only to first order, it has been a difficult challenge, for the last decade, to properly account for focusing effects in surface wave attenuation tomography. Indeed, if the corrections made on the basis of an existing elastic model are inaccurate, they can potentially introduce more biases than they can correct.

Noting that focusing effects and attenuation behave very differently as Rayleigh waves circle around the earth, with the sign of attenuation always the same, while focusing/defocusing depends on the direction of propagation along the great circle, Romanowicz (1990) and Durek et al. (1993) showed that, by using four consecutive Rayleigh wave trains, one can eliminate, at least in the first order approximation, the effect of focusing, as well as uncertainties in the source amplitude. This resulted in the first, low degree, even degree global tomographic models of attenuation in the upper mantle which confirmed the existence of a strong degree two in attenuation, as first suggested in studies based on normal modes. These models showed that attenuation in the uppermost mantle was correlated with seismic velocities, at least at the longest wavelengths ($\sim$ 4000km). There are two drawbacks to this approach: first, only even degree structure can be retrieved in this fashion, as only great circle average attenuation can be measured. Second, elastic effects become increasingly more pronounced as distance increases, and the first order approximation is not suitable for higher orbit trains, leading to a decrease in the ability to retrieve the intrinsic attenuation signal. Moreover, higher orbit trains are more dispersed, limiting the frequency range in which Rayleigh waves of consecutive trains do not interfere with each other and/or with overtones.

In order to be able to retrieve odd degree structure while not explicitly correcting for unknown focusing effects, and avoiding the drawbacks of using multiple orbit wavetrains, a different approach was proposed by Romanowicz (1995), using three consecutive Rayleigh wave trains (R1, R2, R3). She noted that the R1

18

and R2 trains are the least contaminated by focusing effects. However, their amplitudes also depend on uncertainties in the source amplitude. The source amplitude shift varies slowly with frequency, and can be estimated by comparing the overall level of the amplitude spectrum computed in two different fashions: 1) directly from R1 (or R2) and 2) using a linear combination of R1, R2 and R3. Romanowicz (1994a) measured attenuation coefficients on R1 and R2 wavetrains, correcting for the source shift using the reference provided by the R1,R2,R3 combination. This, combined with a rigorous data selection in which all R1 and R2 amplitude spectra that were not smoothly varying with frequency were rejected, led to the first low degree (equivalent to about degree five) tomographic model of upper mantle shear attenuation, $QR19$ (Romanowicz, 1995). Even though this first model had very low resolution, it confirmed the correlation of lateral variations of Q with V_S in the top 250 km of the upper mantle, and a shift to a different pattern in the transition zone, dominated by low Q in the central Pacific and Africa, and correlated with hotspots. Romanowicz (1994b) showed that, in the transition zone, at least at the longest wavelengths, anelastic attenuation correlated with the hot spot distribution, whereas the velocity structure correlates better with the slab distribution, consistent with expectations that Q is more sensitive to high temperature regions. The desensitizing approach used, however, limited the lateral resolution of the model obtained, since a relatively small number of paths qualified for inclusion in the inversion.

The applicability of the linear asymptotic approximation to the computation of focusing (eq. 6-9) has been tested by Selby and Woodhouse (2000) on a large dataset of Rayleigh wave amplitudes on minor and major arcs, in the period range 73-171 s. These authors derived maps of lateral variations of $q_R(\omega) = Q_R^{-1}(\omega)$ at different frequencies, assuming that the amplitude of a fundamental mode Rayleigh wave observed at distance Δ and angular frequency ω can be written as:

$$A(\omega, \Delta) = A_0(\omega)(1 + F(\omega))exp\left[-\omega a \Delta \bar{q}_R(\omega)\right]/2U_0(\omega) \tag{9}$$

where U_0 is group velocity, a is the radius of the earth, $A_0(\omega)$ is the source term and $\bar{q}_R(\omega)$ is the average Rayleigh wave attenuation along the source station path:

$$\bar{q}_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_0^\Delta q_R(\omega, \theta, \phi)ds \tag{10}$$

$F(\omega)$ represents the effect of focusing, which can be calculated from a reference elastic 3D model using expression (6). Selby and Woodhouse (2000) compared the maps obtained by 1) inverting the observed amplitude dataset for lateral variations in q_R without correcting for focusing, 2) inverting synthetic maps of apparent attenuation obtained by assuming the amplitudes are only affected by focusing and

using the elastic phase velocity model of Trampert and Woodhouse (1995), 3) inverting the observed amplitude dataset for q_R after correcting for focusing. In these experiments, a degree 20 model of 3D apparent attenuation was obtained. They concluded that at the longer periods (e.g. 146 s), the apparent attenuation maps obtained in 2) were not significantly correlated with those obtained in 1), indicating that the amplitude signal is not severely affected by focusing, whereas at the shorter periods (e.g. 73 s), the correlation is stronger. This implies not only that the shorter period data need to be corrected for focusing before inferring lateral variations in intrinsic attenuation, but that the predictions from existing elastic models using the approximate focusing theory were perhaps of practical use, even though these focusing terms depend strongly on the poorly constrained transverse gradients of the elastic structure.

Also, Selby and Woodhouse (2000) found that low degree attenuation structure (up to degree eight in a spherical harmonics expansion) is not significantly affected by focusing in the entire period range considered. In a subsequent study, they inverted the maps of $q_R(\omega)$ with and without corrections for focusing to obtain a series of models of lateral variations of attenuation in the upper mantle up to degree eight (Selby and Woodhouse, 2002). In the latter study, they also considered the effect of uncertainties in the source term, and found that a frequency dependent correction factor is necessary to combine the $q_R(\omega)$ maps into a successful depth dependent model of attenuation. They concluded that the details of focusing and source corrections did not affect the robustness of their models up to degree eight, but would be more important at shorter wavelengths.

6.1.3 Current status

An important result of the Selby and Woodhouse studies was the confirmation that surface wave amplitudes contained information not only on anelastic structure but also on elastic structure, that could be exploited, as already suggested by Woodhouse and Wong (1986) and Wong (1989). At about the same time, Billien and Lévêque (2000) made the first attempt at inverting simultaneously Rayleigh wave amplitude and phase data, for maps of phase velocity and q_R between 40-150 s. The effects of focusing were included using equation (6) which allowed them to consider shorter periods and shorter wavelengths. The maps obtained at short periods indicate significant correlation between phase velocity and attenuation, and therefore tectonics, and the even degree part (degrees 2, 4, 6) of their longer period maps are compatible with those of other studies. However their odd degree part is dominated by degree five, a feature not found in other studies.

In a recent study, Dalton and Ekström (2006a,b) considered a large global dataset of Rayleigh wave amplitude data in the period range 50-250 sec. They inverted this dataset, simultaneously, for maps of lateral variations in phase velocity up to spherical harmonics degree 20, attenuation, up to degree 12, as well as source and receiver correction factors. As in previous studies, the focusing effect is also included using expression (6). A notable result of their study is the high quality phase velocity maps that they were able to obtain by using only the amplitude constraints in their inversion (Dalton and Ekström, 2006b). Inclusion of the source and receiver correction factors was also found to improve the attenuation mapping. They constructed maps of Rayleigh wave attenuation at different periods, and also found a good correlation of the Q distribution with tectonics for periods sensitive to the first 250 km of the upper mantle (Dalton and Ekström, 2006a).

The studies described so far considered only fundamental mode surface waves which, in practice, limits the resolution in depth to the top 300-400 km of the upper mantle, even though some attempts at interpreting deeper structure were shown. These studies also used a two step approach, and often only the results of the first step, inverting for maps of lateral variations of $q_R(\omega)$ at different periods, were presented (e.g. Romanowicz, 1990; Billien and Lévêque, 2000; Dalton and Ekström, 2006a).The more recent 3D attenuation models include both even and odd terms of lateral heterogeneity in Q_μ (Romanowicz, 1995; Selby and Woodhouse, 2002), or in Q_R (Dalton and Ekström, 2006a). Figure 6 compares maps of Q at a depth of 200 km obtained in different recent studies, and shows that, while details are still variable from model to model, the large scale features, with high Q in shield areas, low Q under ridges and back arcs are quite consistent.

In order to improve the depth resolution in the transition zone, it is necessary to develop a methodology that includes information from surface wave overtones as well as Love waves. Because overtones are not easily separable due to their similar group velocities, a waveform methodology is desireable. Such a methodology uses a comparison of observed and synthetic seismograms in the time domain. The synthetics can be computed using normal mode theory, taking into account 3D effects at various degrees of approximation. However, to obtain accurate amplitude information from surface wave data, it is necessary to either employ an approach based on the measurement of envelopes, or to first align the timing of waveforms before comparing observed and synthetic waveforms. Following these considerations, Gung and Romanowicz (2004) recently developed an iterative waveform inversion approach, in which a large global dataset of three-component fundamental and overtone waveforms, filtered in the frequency range

80-250 s, is first inverted for elastic 3D structure, using the NACT approach (Non Linear Asymptotic Coupling Theory) developed by Li and Romanowicz (1995), up to spherical harmonics degree 24. Different elastic models are computed for Love and Rayleigh waves, to account for the strong radially anisotropic signal in the uppermost mantle (e.g. Montagner and Tanimoto, 1991; Ekström and Dziewonski, 1997; Gung et al., 2003). In a second step, the waveforms, corrected for the 3D elastic structure obtained in the previous step, are inverted for lateral variations of $Q_\mu(r)$ in the upper mantle, up to degree 8. In this process the waveforms are directly inverted for depth dependence in elastic and anelastic structure.

Although Gung and Romanowicz (2004) did not include focusing effects in deriving their model $QRLW8$, subsequent tests indicated that the model was robust with respect to these effects, in agreement with the predictions of Selby and Woodhouse (2000). In particular, Gung and Romanowicz (2004) compared the degree 8 $Q_\mu(r)$ model obtained with and without focusing terms, the latter computed using expression (8) in the 3D elastic model obtained in the first step, and found no significant changes. Including focusing in the second step of the inversion justifies inverting for a higher degree Q_μ model. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the models obtained up to degree 12 and 16, in the transition zone when focusing terms are included. Clearly, the large scale features remain stable among the models, with some variability in the details. They indicate that, in contrast to the upper 200-300 km of the mantle, the main features in Q_μ in the transition zone are two large low Q regions in the south Central pacific and under Africa, which correlate with the distribution of elastic velocities at the base of the mantle (Romanowicz and Gung, 2002) as well as the distribution of hotspots, confirming earlier results (Romanowicz, 1994b).

6.2   Global body wave studies

In the same way as differential travel times of teleseismic body wave phases are used to obtain information on the distribution of upper mantle velocities, global scale lateral variations in attenuation can be inferred from differential amplitude measurements (i.e., amplitude ratios). These measurements are difficult, however, as they are sensitive to the window chosen for each phase, as well as to contamination by complex structure near the source, receiver and bounce points. Komatitsch et al. (2002) have called attention to the importance of considering the effects of focusing and scattering in the crust. Chapter x (Cormier, 2007) provides details about the theoretical computation of the effects of attenuation on body waves.

Only three published studies to date have developed models of upper mantle Q based on differential body

wave measurements, two for Q_μ using S phases (Bhattacharyya et al., 1996; Reid et al., 2001) and one for Q_α (Warren and Shearer, 2002). Each of them used slightly different methods, but the basic principle remains the same.

The amplitude spectra of recorded body waves can be expressed as:

$$A(\omega) = cS(\omega)R(\omega)I(\omega)A_c(\omega)Fexp(-\omega t^*/2) \tag{11}$$

where c is a constant expressing the radiation pattern and the geometrical spreading, $S(\omega)$ is the source spectrum, $R(\omega)$ the crustal response, $I(\omega)$ the instrument response, A_c is the crustal layering/reflectivity at the bounce point for reflected waves and F is a hypothetical factor that includes possible effects of focusing. Also, t^* is defined as:

$$t^* = \int \frac{ds}{Qv} \tag{12}$$

where v is the elastic velocity, Q the quality factor, which may depend on frequency, and the integral is taken along the ray path. In body wave studies, the frequency dependence of Q needs to be taken into account, because the measurements are performed at higher frequency (typically 0.15 to 1 Hz for P waves and 0.05 to 0.1 Hz for S waves), closer to the high frequency cut-off in the Earth's absorption band. The frequency dependence of Q is often expressed in terms of an absorption band model (equation (2)). However, Warren and Shearer (2002) estimated the effect of frequency dependence on the attenuation of P waves around a period of 1 s, and concluded that neglecting it did not significantly bias their measurements of lateral variations in Q_α. On the other hand, Reid et al. (2001) suggested that the frequency dependence might be absorbed in the source terms.

Pairs of phases are selected so as to eliminate as many factors as possible from their spectral amplitude ratio. The differential δt^* can then be obtained by measuring the slope of the remaining spectral ratio as a function of frequency:

$$ln(A_2/A_1) = -\omega/2(\delta t^*) + \epsilon \tag{13}$$

where ϵ is a constant containing the effects of differential radiation pattern, geometrical spreading and focusing for the two phases. The assumption of frequency independence of $\delta t^* = t_2^* - t_1^*$ needs to be verified even if dispersion corrections have been applied, and this is usually done by checking the linearity of the slope as well as the constancy of the phase of the complex spectral ratio.

Because the lateral variations of Q in the lower mantle are thought to be much smaller than in the upper mantle, the differential t^* thus obtained is attributed to lateral variations of structure in the upper mantle under the bounce point of the reflected phase. Given the steep approach of the reflected rays at the bounce point and the relatively sparse global sampling, these measurements provide information about the average upper mantle lateral variations in Q but have little depth resolution.

This general principle of slope measurement was used by Bhattacharyya et al. (1996) and Warren and Shearer (2002). However, these authors used different approaches to correct for potential receiver and source biases. Bhattacharyya et al. (1996) considered the spectra of S and SS from the same records, in the distance range $40^o - 120^o$, and the period range 15-25 sec, then estimated the differential t^* by applying a multiple-record stacking technique, grouping the records by bounce point location, and assuming that effects of source, receiver and path, outside of the common upper mantle path of the SS waves with common bounce location, would average out in the stacks. The bounce point location area over which the stacking was performed were caps of 5° radius, larger than the estimated Fresnel zones of the corresponding reflected waves. These authors used a frequency domain multitaper technique to minimize the effects of finite source duration and near source or near receiver structure complexity. They found a correspondence between the cap-averaged t^* and tectonic provinces, with, as expected, lowest attenuation under platforms and shields and highest attenuation under young oceans. In a second step, they inverted for a depth dependent model of Q_μ in the upper mantle, expanded laterally up to degree 8 in spherical harmonics, and assuming three layers in depth (20-220km, 220-400km ,400-670km). Resolution experiments indicated that the best resolved layer was the deepest one, and the two shallower ones could not be distinguished uniquely.

Warren and Shearer (2002), on the other hand, considered independent datasets of P waveforms, in the distance range $40 - 80^o$, and PP waveforms in the distance range $80 - 160^o$. Working in the frequency domain, they first determined source and receiver terms for the P dataset, using an iterative stacking procedure over common sources and common receivers. Then they assumed that the same source and receiver terms could be applied to the PP phases, since the two phases have similar takeoff angles, path lengths and turning depths, given the distance ranges considered. They thus corrected the PP amplitude spectra using the source and receiver terms determined from the P spectra and estimated the residual t^* attributed to the upper mantle beneath the bounce point. Finally, to reduce the scatter in the data, they estimated cap averages over non-overlapping bounce point areas of 5° radius. They too found the highest Q_α (smallest t^*) under shields and platforms and the lowest Q_α (largest t^*) under young oceans.

Unlike Bhattacharyya et al. (1996), these authors considered, however, that their measurements reflected the average structure over the top 220 km of the mantle and derived the corresponding Q_α averaged over that depth range.

Reid et al. (2001) developed a somewhat different method, based on waveform fitting, which they applied to a large dataset of SS and S waveforms from seismograms collected in the distance range $50^o - 105^o$ and also to SSS, SS, in the distance range $90^o - 179^o$. In the process, they included the effects of elastic structure on differential travel times of these phases. These authors considered that their data had sensitivity down to 400 km and inverted their t^* dataset for a model of Q_μ expanded laterally to degree 8 and in depth, using a spline parametrization with six knots. However, their resolution tests indicated that the depth resolution was poor, and that they could not attribute the lateral variations found to a particular depth.

6.3   Multiple ScS studies

Although they do not provide a complete global coverage, the most widely used shear wave phases for large scale regional mantle attenuation studies have been multiple ScS phases. Measurements of the attenuation of these near-vertical multiply-reflected waves are relatively uncontaminated by source, instrument and geometrical spreading effects. They and their depth phases appear very clearly on transverse seismograms in the "reverberative interval", after the first arriving surface wave train (Figure 8). In the absence of noise, the transfer function relating ScS_n to ScS_{n+1} is, in the spectral domain:

$$H(\omega) = exp(-\omega T_0/2Q(\omega)] \cdot exp\,[i\theta(\omega, T_0)] \tag{14}$$

where T_0 is the differential travel time between the two phases, θ is a phase function and $Q(\omega)$ is the quality factor, which is an estimate of the vertically averaged attenuation through the mantle. As in the case of other differential measurements, the estimate of Q_{ScS} is obtained by measuring the slope of the amplitude of the transfer function as a function of frequency. The stability of the phase spectrum is used as an additional indication of the quality of the measurement.

Early studies used spectral ratios measured on hand-digitized and hand-rotated transverse component seismograms, and provided evidence for large amplitude lateral variations of Q_{ScS} in the mantle (e.g. Press, 1956; Kovach and Anderson, 1964; Sato and Espinosa, 1967; Yoshida and Tsujiura, 1975). Because of the analog records used, and the measurement methods employed, which did not take into consideration

25

the biasing effects of noise, these early estimates are considered to be less accurate than more recent ones. They are also generally biased towards very high values of Q_{ScS}, compared to normal mode results, which has been attributed not only to the effects of noise but also, potentially, to the effect of frequency dependence of Q, as the instruments which produced the records had limited bandwidth. With the advent of digital long period instrumentation, and the development of more sophisticated methods which were better suited for minimizing the effects of noise (e.g. Jordan and Sipkin, 1977; Nakanishi, 1979a), measurements became more accurate. For example, Jordan and Sipkin (1977) introduced a method of phase-equalization, by computing cross-correlation between successive ScS multiples, as well as stacking to reduce measurement scatter. Nakanishi (1979a) used a maximum likelihood method to reduce the effects of noise. Most measurements are performed in the frequency band between 10 mHz and 40-60 mHz, where frequency dependence of Q_{ScS} has been found to be negligible (e.g. Sipkin and Jordan, 1979). These studies have confirmed the existence of large lateral variations in Q_{ScS} (e.g. Sipkin and Jordan, 1980; Lay and Wallace, 1983, 1988; Chan and Der, 1988; Revenaugh and Jordan, 1989, 1991; Sipkin and Revenaugh, 1994; Suetsugu, 2001; Gomer and Okal, 2003). The derived average mantle values for $Q_\mu \sim 220 - 240$ are, in general, compatible with those obtained from normal modes.

Measurements under oceans, and in the vicinity of subduction zones are more numerous, because of the availability of deep earthquakes and the relatively simple effects of the thin crust at the surface reflection points. Measurements under continents are more difficult, because the effect of crustal multiples at the surface reflection point needs to be taken into account (e.g. Sipkin and Revenaugh, 1994; Isse and Nakanishi, 1997). In order to expand the coverage under continents, it is also necessary to consider shallow earthquakes, for which the reverberation interval is more noisy because of the presence of strong multi-pathing surface waves.

These studies determined that Q_{ScS} is higher under continents than under ocean basins, on average. Regional variability on relatively short scales has been found in subduction zone regions. In particular, low Q was estimated under the Sea of Japan (e.g. $Q_{ScS} \sim 160$, Nakanishi (1979a)) and under northern south America ($Q_{ScS} \sim 93$, Lay and Wallace (1983)), in regions sampling the upper mantle wedge and/or back-arc region behind subduction zones, which, if primarily attributed to the upper mantle, translates into very low Q. On the other hand, high Q values comparable to continental estimates were obtained on paths sampling through slabs (e.g. $Q_{ScS} \sim 232$, in south America, Lay and Wallace (1983); $Q_{ScS} \sim 226$, under Japan/Kuriles, Nakanishi (1979a); $Q_{ScS} \sim 266 \pm 57$ in Argentina, Sipkin and Jordan (1980)). These older measurements are generally in agreement with a more recent study by Chan and Der (1988),

except in the southwest Pacific, where these authors found $Q_{ScS} \sim 214 \pm 42$ compared to the estimate of $Q_{ScS} \sim 157 \pm 17$ of Sipkin and Jordan (1980). This may however be due to the way the latter averaged their measurements over an extended region where strong lateral variations in Q_{ScS} have since been confirmed (Suetsugu, 2001; Gomer and Okal, 2003). Using short epicentral distance data ($< 20^o$) filtered between 10 and 50 mHz, Suetsugu (2001) found very low Q under the south Pacific superswell ($Q_{ScS} \sim 70 - 80$) whereas Gomer and Okal (2003) found very high Q under the Ontong Java Plateau, also using short distances (10-20 degrees) and a bandpass between 10 and 62.5 mHz.

The lateral variations found in Q_{ScS} are attributed primarily to the upper mantle, because of the much higher Q in the lower mantle, which reduces the effects on multiple ScS of any, even significant, lateral variations (e.g. Warren and Shearer, 2002), and the significant correlation of Q_{ScS} with tectonics. Furthermore, the correlation with travel time anomalies from the same multiple ScS phases suggests that they could be primarily related to lateral variations in temperature.

Caution must be exercised, of course, in attributing all of the Q_{ScS} signal to the upper mantle, in particular if there is a low Q zone at the base of the mantle (e.g. Revenaugh and Jordan, 1991), and significant lateral variations of Q in D". While attenuation in D" and its variations have yet to be explored at the global scale, the presence of large variations in velocity imply that at least some lateral variations exist in Q as well. Recently, Fisher and Wysession (2003) showed evidence for the existence of a ~ 600 km wide high-attenuation, low-velocity region in D" beneath central America, using spectral ratios of S and ScS phases.

6.4   Other body wave studies

High attenuation has been reported in the back of island arcs using other types of body waves. Early estimates were very qualitative (e.g. Barazangi and Isaaks, 1971; Barazangi et al., 1975; Bowman, 1988) More recently, several regional studies (e.g. Flanagan and Wiens, 1990, 1994; Roth et al., 1999, 2000) have utilized shear depth phases from deep earthquakes observed teleseismically or at regional arrays to obtain constraints on the attenuation in the wedge of upper mantle above different subduction zones. Flanagan and Wiens (1990) measured differential attenuation between $sS - S$ and $sScS - ScS$ phase pairs beneath the Lau back arc spreading center in the Fiji-Tonga region. Studying the variation of the estimated Q as a function of depth of the source, they found high attenuation in the first 200-300 km, and decreasing attenuation at greater depth. They found very high attenuation ($Q \sim 20 - 35$) in the uppermost mantle

beneath the spreading center and somewhat lower attenuation ($Q \sim 50$) beneath older parts of the Lau Basin. Flanagan and Wiens (1994) extended this approach to the study of several inactive back arc basins in the western Pacific, and confirmed the presence of high attenuation ($Q \sim 40 - 50$) in the uppermost mantle (depths less than 160 km), with even lower $Q's$ (< 40) in the vicinity of the volcanic centers. They also confirmed a systematic increase of Q with depth ($Q \sim 115$ between 160-430 km and $Q \sim 173$ in the transition zone). The frequency band of these two studies was 10-80 mHz.

Following the method of Teng (1968), Roth et al. (1999) assembled a dataset of differential $S - P$ and $P - P$ attenuation measurements from a temporary local array of broadband land and ocean bottom stations in the Tonga-Fiji region. The dataset they assembled allowed them higher resolution compared to teleseismic data because of the geometry of the source-station distribution, the short paths and the small Fresnel zones compared to teleseismic phases. These authors measured δt from spectral ratios and obtained an estimate of the best fitting Q_α/Q_β ratio as part of the inversion for Q_α and Q_β, assuming that Q is not frequency dependent in the band-pass of their study (0.1-3.5 Hz), which seems to be verified by the data. They confirmed the high attenuation values in the uppermost mantle found previously beneath the spreading center. They then performed a 2D tomographic inversion, assuming that the structure is uniform in the direction parallel to slab strike. In their model, the slab appears as a high Q zone ($Q_\alpha > 900$) down to at least 400km, below which it is indistinguishable from the surrounding mantle. An abrupt transition from the high Q slab to the low Q back arc basin occurs approximately at the Tonga volcanic front. The lowest Q_α values (~ 90) are found directly under the Lau back arc spreading center. There is also evidence for a North-South trend, with attenuation on average higher by 10% in the northern part of the region. This Q tomographic model correlates well with velocity models in the region. The best fitting ratio Q_α/Q_β is ~ 1.75, which is in agreement with a loss in bulk about 1/3 that of the loss in shear, assuming a Poisson solid (Figure 9).

A similar study under the northern Philippine Sea, this time using only $S - P$ spectral ratios (Shito and Shibutan, 2003a), found that the Philippine and Izu Bonin slabs also had high Q, and found low Q under the spreading center in the Shikoku basin. However, the lowest values of Q were found at larger depths (250 km) than in the Lau Basin. The authors attributed that to the older age of the Shikoku spreading center.

Just as the upper mantle is found to be highly attenuating under back arc spreading centers, other studies have investigated mid-ocean ridge systems and found very low Q (Molnar and Oliver, 1969; Solomon, 1973; Sheehan and Solomon, 1992; Ding and Grand, 1993). Sheehan and Solomon (1992)

used the pair of teleseismic phases S and SS along the north-Atlantic ridge and found that $SS - S$ differential attenuation decreases with increasing age of the oceanic plate, and that, in order for their measurements to be compatible with surface wave studies, the high attenuation region must extend into the asthenosphere. Ding and Grand (1993) performed waveform modelling of multiple S phases (up to S_4) at distances between 30° and 80° along the East Pacific Rise. Because their data have sensitivity to the deep mantle, they found that, in order for their measurements to be compatible with multiple ScS results, a significantly lower average Q in the lower mantle is needed than obtained on average from normal mode measurements, indicating that lateral variations in Q may also exist in the lower mantle. Note that Sipkin and Jordan attributed a lower Q in the Fiji-KIP corridor from multiple ScS measurements to frequency dependence. In the light of the Ding and Grand (1993) study, it seems more likely that the observations of Sipkin and Jordan (1979) could just be due to lower Q's in the lower mantle, especially as both studies sampled regions of the Pacific Superplume.

In the last decade, there have been increasing efforts to interpret tomographic models of the upper mantle at various scales in terms of temperature, degrees of partial melt, water content, and rock composition, using constraints from elastic and anelastic tomography, heat flow, gravity and experimental data. Thus, Sobolev et al. (1996) found that the Massif Central in France is underlain by a hot mantle body with a potential temperature $100^0 - 200^0 C$ higher than the upper mantle average. In an interpretation of velocity and Q tomography under the Philippine sea, Shito and Shibutan (2003) found that the deeper upper mantle (300-400 km depth) may contain 10-50 times more water than average. Boyd and Sheehan (2005) measured differential t^* of S-phase waveforms from the Rocky Mountain Front broadband network and found a north-south zone of very low Q_μ in the upper mantle beneath the rocky mountains. Combining attenuation and velocity data, they inferred that the Colorado Rocky Mountains are supported by low density mantle and a thick crust. On the other hand, comparing their laboratory measurements of Q_μ with global seismological Q models, Faul and Jackson (2005) inferred that the upper mantle low velocity zone could be explained by solid state processes, without invoking partial melting. Lawrence et al. (2006) applied a waveform cross-correlation method and cluster analysis to the study of upper mantle P and S wave attenuation across the North American continent and found that the distribution of attenuation is in general correlated with that of velocity, implying higher temperatures beneath the western tectonic regions than under the more stable east, in agreement with both global and crustal continental scale studies.

In general t^* measurements as well as surface wave attenuation measurements are interpreted in terms of anelastic attenuation, however, contributions from scattering can be important and need to be considered. For example, in a study of S wave amplitude variations under Iceland, (Allen et al., 1999) found that the low velocity cylindrical-shaped plume acts as a lens, causing frequency dependent focusing that dominates over anelastic effects on the amplitudes.

7 Regional Q variations in the crust and uppermost mantle

7.1 Introduction

One of the most interesting aspects of Q in the crystalline crust and upper mantle of the Earth is the large magnitude of its variation from region to region. Whereas broad-scale seismic velocities vary laterally at those depths by at most 10–15%, Q can vary by an order of magnitude or more. Figure 10 illustrates the large effect that regional Q variations can have on phases that travel through both the upper mantle (P and S waves) and the crust (Lg) by comparing records for paths across the eastern and western United States. Since variations in Q can be so large, seismic wave attenuation is often able to relate Q variations to variations in geological and geophysical properties that are not easily detected by measurements of seismic velocities. Factors known to contribute to Q variations in the crust and upper mantle include temperature and interstitial fluids.

In this section we will emphasize studies of Q variation conducted over regions sufficiently broad to contribute to our knowledge of crust and upper mantle structure and evolution. In so doing we neglect many studies that have provided useful information on crustal Q over small regions.

7.2 Q or Attenuation Determinations for Seismic Waves in the Crust

Investigators have employed different methods, phases and frequency ranges to study the anelastic properties of the crust and upper mantle. At the higher frequencies and shorter distances often employed for crustal studies some researchers have emphasized determinations of intrinsic Q (Q_i), some have emphasized the scattering contribution (Q_s) and some have sought to determine the relative contributions of Q_i and Q_s. The importance of scattering became apparent from the groundbreaking work of K. Aki (Aki, 1969) who first showed that the codae of various regional phases are composed of scattered waves. His work spawned a large literature on both theoretical and observational aspects of scattering that is

discussed elsewhere in this volume (Shearer, 2006).

The contributions of the intrinsic and scattering components to total attenuation, $1/Q_t$ can be described by

$$\frac{1}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{Q_i} + \frac{1}{Q_s} \tag{15}$$

where Q_i and Q_s refer respectively to intrinsic and scattering Q (Dainty, 1981). Richards and Menke (1983) verified that the contributions of intrinsic and scattering attenuation are approximately additive as described by equation (15). Seismologists often wish to focus on Earth's intrinsic anelastic structure. To do so they must select a phase or work in a frequency range such that the effect of scattering can either be determined or is small relative to the effect of intrinsic Q.

This review almost entirely addresses intrinsic Q, but we will discuss one type of scattered wave (Lg coda) quite extensively. Although Lg coda is considered to contain a large amount of scattered energy, theoretical and computational studies (discussed later) of scattered S-wave energy indicate that measured Q values for that wave largely reflect intrinsic properties of the crust.

All of the measurements that we discuss in this section can be placed in one of two major categories: (1) those in which seismic source effects cancel and (2) those in which assumptions are made about the seismic source spectrum. For category (1) the cancellation is most often achieved by using ratios of amplitudes recorded by two or more instruments. But it has also been achieved using ratios of amplitudes from different portions of a single time series. The primary application of the latter type of cancellation has been for the determination of Lg coda Q (Q_{Lg}^C). We divide this category into three parts that address regional phases, fundamental-mode surface waves and Lg coda. Category (2) permits studies of Q using a single station, but requires knowledge of the source depth, source mechanism and velocity model for the source region. We divide this category into two parts, one addressing regional phases and the other fundamental-mode surface waves.

Seismologists have measured the dispersion of body waves due to anelasticity and have successfully used that dispersion to infer values for body-wave Q. We will not cover that topic because it has been the subject of relatively few studies and has been applied mainly to small regions.

The following subsections discuss methodology and present some representative results using the cited methods as applied to the crust. Because the number of studies for continents is overwhelmingly greater than for oceans, most of discussion will pertain to continental regions. A few studies will, however, be described for oceanic regions.

7.2.1 Spectral decay methods in which source effects cancel – Regional phases

Regional phases include P, S and Lg phases recorded at distances less than about 1000 km. The early portion of this sub-section mostly covers Q determinations for P and S phases but in cases where researchers measured Q_{Lg} as well as Q_P and Q_S all results will be presented. The latter part of this sub-section will discuss Lg exclusively since this phase has been widely used in recent years to study variations of Q over broad regions.

In order to cancel the source effects, several methods utilize stations that lie on a common great-circle path with the seismic source but other methods are able to dispense with the need for great-circle path propagation. All studies, however, need to first remove the effect of wave-front spreading before measuring amplitude changes due to attenuation. In this sub-section we will restrict our discussion to studies at frequencies that pertain totally or predominantly to the crust with occasional reference to the upper mantle for studies that include results for both the crust and upper mantle.

Regional and near-distance studies of P- and S-wave attenuation (or their respective quality factors Q_P and Q_S) often cancel the source by utilizing several stations at varying distances (e.g. Nuttli, 1978, 1980; Thouvenot, 1983; Carpenter and Sanford, 1985)

More recently, amplitude measurements in which both the source and sensor reside in boreholes beneath any sediments or weathered layers have provided much-improved estimates of Q_P and Q_S. Abercrombie (1997) used borehole recordings to determine spectral ratios of direct P to S waves and found that they are well modeled with a frequency-independent Q_P distribution in the borehole increasing from $Q_P \sim 26$ to 133 at depths between the upper 300 m and 1.5 to 3 km. Q_S increased from 15 to 47 in the same depth range. Abercrombie (2000), in another borehole study near the San Andreas Fault, found that attenuation on the northeastern side of the fault is about twice that on the southwestern side.

Lg is very useful for Q studies both because it travels predominantly in the crustal waveguide, providing Q information for a known depth interval, and is a large and easily recognizable phase. Even relatively small earthquakes can generate useable records; thus data, in many regions is plentiful. Lg can be represented by a superposition of many higher-mode surface waves or by a composite of rays multiply reflected in the crust. It is usually assumed that Q_{Lg} follows a power-law frequency dependence, $Q=Q_o f^\eta$, where Q_o is the value of Q_{Lg} at a reference frequency and η is the frequency dependence parameter at that frequency. Lg travels through continental crust at velocities between about 3.2 and 3.6 km/s and is usually followed by a coda of variable duration. That coda is discussed in a later sub-section. Since the Lg wave

consists of many higher modes, it is often assumed that, even though the radiation patterns for individual modes differ from one another, the totality of modes combine to form a source radiation pattern that is approximately circular. If that assumption is correct the source and stations need not necessarily line up along the same great-circle path to estimate Q.

Early determinations of Q_{Lg}, after correcting for wave-front spreading, compared observed attenuation with distance with theoretically predicted attenuation for the Lg phase and chose the theoretical curve (predicted by selected values of Q_o and η) which agreed best with observations (e.g. Nuttli, 1973; Street, 1976; Bollinger, 1979; Hasegawa, 1985; Campillo et al., 1985; Chavez and Priestley, 1986; Chun et al., 1987).

Benz et al. (1997) studied four regions (southern California, the Basin and Range province, the central United States, and the northeastern United States and southeastern Canada) of North America in the frequency range 0.5-14.0 Hz. They found that, at 1 Hz, Q_{Lg} varies from about 187 in southern California to 1052 in the northeastern United States and southeastern Canada and about 1291 in the central United States. They also found that the frequency dependence of Q also varies regionally, being relatively high ($\alpha \sim 0.55$-0.56) in southern California and the Basin and Range and smaller ($\alpha \sim 0$-0.22) in the other three regions.

Xie and Mitchell (1990a) applied a stacking method to many two-station measurements of Q_{Lg} at 1 Hz frequency in the Basin and Range province. This method, first developed for single-station determinations of Lg coda Q (Q_{Lg}^C), will be discussed in the subsection on Lg coda. They found that $Q_{Lg} = (275 \pm 50)f^{(0.5\pm0.2)}$ and $Q_{Lg}^C = (268 \pm 50)f^{(0.5\pm0.2)}$.

Xie et al. (2004) extended the method to multiple pairs of stations in instrument arrays across the Tibetan Plateau. For an array in central Tibet (INDEPTH III) they found very low values for Q_o ($\sim$90) that they attributed to very high temperatures and partial melt the crust. An array in southern Tibet yielded even lower values, $\sim$60, for Q_o in the northern portion of the array but higher values ($\sim$100) in a central portion and much higher values ($>$300) in the southernmost portion. Xie et al. (2006) used a two-station method to obtain more than 5000 spectral ratios for 594 interstation paths and obtained Q_o and η for those paths. They obtained tomographic maps of those values that are described in the sub-section on tomographic mapping.

7.2.2 Spectral decay methods in which source effects cancel – Fundamental-mode Surface waves

When using fundamental-mode surface waves to study lateral variations of crustal Q_μ we need to measure the attenuation of relatively short-period (5-100 s) amplitudes. In continents, these waves may be biased by systematic errors associated with laterally varying elastic properties along the path of travel, as discussed earlier. Two-station studies of fundamental-mode surface waves are especially susceptible to these types of error because researchers might incorrectly assume great-circle propagation along a path through the source and the two stations. Non-great-circle propagation would mean that surface-wave energy arriving at two stations along different great-circle paths could originate at different portions of the source radiation pattern. If that pattern is not circular the two-station method can produce attenuation coefficient values that are either too high or too low, depending on the points of the radiation pattern at which the waves originate.

Measurements for the situation in which a source and two stations lie approximately on the same great circle path have often been used to determine surface-wave attenuation. The method was described by Tsai and Aki (1969) and determines the average surface-wave Q between the two stations after correcting for the different wave front spreading factors at the two stations. They applied the method to many two-station paths from the Parkfield, California earthquake of June 28, 1966 and, using the formulation of Anderson et al. (1965), obtained a frequency-independent model of intrinsic shear-wave Q (Q_μ) with a low-Q zone that coincided with the Gutenberg low-velocity zone in the upper mantle. Love-wave Q was greater than 800 and Rayleigh-wave Q was greater than 1000 in the period range 20-25 s.

Other studies using that method to obtain Q_μ models at crustal and uppermost mantle depths include Hwang and Mitchell (1987) for several stable and tectonically active regions of the world, Al-Khatib and Mitchell (1991) for the western United States and Cong and Mitchell (1998) for the Middle East.

Models have also been obtained in which Q_μ varies with frequency. That frequency dependence is described by the relation $Q_\mu = Q_o f^\zeta$ where ζ may vary with frequency (Mitchell, 1975) and/or with depth (Mitchell and Xie, 1994). The inversion process for Q_μ in those cases requires appropriate extensions of the Anderson et al. (1965) equations. The process requires both fundamental-mode surface-wave attenuation data and Q or attenuation information for either an individual higher mode or the combination of higher modes that form the Lg phase. The process proceeds by assuming a simple one- or two- layer distribution of ζ and inverting the fundamental-mode data for a Q_μ model. ζ is adjusted until a Q_μ model is obtained

that explains both the fundamental-mode and higher-mode attenuation data. Mitchell and Xie (1994) applied the method to the Basin and Range province of the western United States. Example Q_μ models for which ζ varies with depth (Mitchell and Xie, 1994) appear in Figure 11.

Other surface-wave studies for which the source is cancelled are those that use many stations at various distances and azimuths and simultaneously solve for surface-wave attenuation coefficient values and seismic moments for particular periods by linear least-squares. Tryggvason (1965) first did this, as described in our section on early studies, using explosions and assuming circular radiation patterns. Tsai and Aki (1969) extended this method to use earthquake sources, and applied it to surface waves generated by the June 28, 1966 Parkfield, California earthquake. This process was later applied to the central United States (Mitchell, 1973; Herrmann and Mitchell, 1975), and the Basin and Range province of the western United States (Patton and Taylor, 1989).

A non-linear variation of the Tsai and Aki method (Mitchell, 1975), represents a nuclear explosion with strain release by a superposition of an explosion (with a circular radiation pattern) and a vertical strike-slip fault (represented by a horizntal double-couple). Variations of the orientation of the double couple and its strength relative to the explosion produce a wide variety of radiation patterns. The inversion solves for the moment of the explosion, the orientation of the double-couple, the strength of the double-couple relative to the explosion and an average attenuation coefficient value for each period of interest. Mitchell applied the method to two nuclear events in Colorado as recorded by stations throughout the United States and found that Q_μ in the upper crust of the eastern United States is about twice as high as it is in the western United States at surface-wave frequencies.

The first attempts at mapping regional variations of attenuation or Q utilized crude regionalizations (two or three regions) based upon broad-scale geological or geophysical information. Studies have produced models for Eurasia (Yacoub and Mitchell, 1977) using the method of Mitchell (1975), and for the Pacific (Canas and Mitchell, 1978) and Atlantic (Canas and Mitchell, 1981) using the two-station method.

7.2.3 Spectral decay methods in which source effects cancel – Lg coda

Lg coda, like direct Lg, is sensitive to properties through a known depth range (the crust) in which it travels and is a large amplitude phase for which data are plentiful. In addition, since the coda of Lg is comprised of scattered energy, it can continue to oscillate for several hundred seconds following the onset of the direct Lg phase, thus making it possible to stack spectral amplitudes for many pairs of

time windows to make a Q estimate. Other positive aspects of using Lg coda for Q studies are that the averaging effect of scattering stabilizes Q_{Lg}^C determinations and, if stacking methods are utilized to determine Q for Lg coda, site effects cancel (Xie and Mitchell, 1990a).

Two methods have been applied to Lg coda to make Q determinations. The first of these was developed by Herrmann (1980) and applied by Singh and Herrmann (1983) to data in the United States. The method extended the coda theory of Aki (1969) utilizing the idea that coda dispersion is due to the combined effects of the instrument response and the Q filter of the Earth. The two studies provided new approximations for the relative variation of Q across the United States. Herrmann later realized, however, that his method did not take into consideration the broadband nature of the recorded signal and overestimates Q by about 30% (R.B. Herrmann, personal communication).

Xie and Nuttli (1988) introduced the Stacked Spectral Ratio (SSR) method which stacks spectra from several pairs of windows along the coda of Lg. That process leads to

$$R_k = f^{1-\eta}/Q_o, \tag{16}$$

as the expression for the SSR, or in logarithmic form

$$\log R_k = (1 - \eta) \log f_k - \log Q_o + e, \tag{17}$$

from which Q_o and η can be obtained by linear regression. f_k, Q_o, η and e in these equations are, respectively, a discrete frequency, the value of Q at 1 Hz, the frequency dependence of Q at frequencies near 1 Hz, and an estimate for random error. This stacking process provides stable estimates of Q_o and η with standard errors sufficiently low to allow tomographic mapping of those quantities. A detailed description of the SSR method appears in Xie and Nuttli (1988) and more briefly in Mitchell et al. (1997).

Figure 12 shows an example of Lg coda and its associated SSR for a relatively high-Q path in India, which can be fit over a broad frequency range with a straight line on a log-log plot. The value at 1 Hz provides an estimate of $1/Q$ at that frequency and the slope of the line gives an estimate for η. Inversions of sets of those values over a broad region can yield tomographic maps of those quantities.

Past studies have shown that several factors may contribute to reductions in Q_o; these include thick accumulations of young sediments (Mitchell and Hwang, 1987) and the presence of a velocity gradient rather than a sharp interface at the crust/mantle boundary (Bowman and Kennett, 1991; Mitchell et al., 1998). In addition, decreasing depth of the Moho in the direction of Lg travel or undulations of the

Moho surface can be expected to decrease measured Q_{Lg} or Q_{Lg}^C whereas increasing depth would produce larger values. To our knowledge this effect has not be quantitatively studied and is expected to be small over over large regions. These factors may cause determinations of correlation coefficients between Q_{Lg} or Q_{Lg}^C and various crustal or mantle properties to be relatively low whenever they are determined (e.g., Zhang and Lay, 1994; Artemieva et al., 2004).

7.2.4 Spectral decay methods for which assumptions are made about the source spectrum – Regional phases

Hough et al. (1988) studied S waves traveling over relatively short distances near Anza, California and defined the instrument-corrected acceleration spectrum at a station located a distance r from the source as

$$A(r, f) = A_o e^{-\pi t^*}, \tag{18}$$

where t^* is defined in equation (12). For crustal studies it is common to assume that Q is constant along the path, in which case

$$t^* = \frac{t}{Q}, \tag{19}$$

where t is the travel time.

Hough et al. (1988) defined A_o as

$$A_o = (2\pi f)^2 S(f) G(r, f), \tag{20}$$

where $S(f)$ is the source displacement spectrum and $G(r, f)$ is the geometrical spreading factor which, if we assume frequency independent propagation in a homogeneous medium, is $1/r$ for body waves. At higher frequencies these methods assume a spectral fall-off rate (such as ω^{-2} or ω^{-3}) and attribute additional fall-off to attenuation. Since a large body of data supports the ω^{-2}, or Brune, model (Brune, 1970) that is the one most commonly used. Taking the natural logarithm of equation (5) leaves

$$\ln A(f) = \ln A_0 - \pi f t^*. \tag{21}$$

For the frequency-independent case this equation defines a straight line with an intercept of $ln\ A_o$ and a slope of $-\pi t/Q_o$.

Anderson and Hough (1984) hypothesized that, to first-order, the shape of the acceleration spectrum at high frequencies can be described by

$$\alpha(f) = A_o e^{-\pi \kappa f} f > f_E \tag{22}$$

where f_E is the frequency above which the spectral shape is indistinguishable from spectral decay and A_o depends on source properties and epicentral distance. They found that κ increased slowly with distance, an observation consistent with a Q model of the crust that increases with depth in its shallow layers and that it was systematically smaller on rock sites than at alluvial sites. Hough et al. (1988) studied κ as a function of hypocentral distance, as well as site and source characteristics, and found that $\kappa(0)$ differed for sites at Anza and the Imperial Valley in California but that $d\kappa/dr$ was similar for the two regions. Their interpretation was that $\kappa(0)$ was a component of attenuation that reflects Q_i in the shallow portion of the crust while $d\kappa/dr$ was due to regional structure at great depth. This method was applied to various regions in the world: the Canadian Shield (Hasegawa, 1974), the Pyrenees (Modiano and Hatzfeld, 1982), the New Madrid zone of the central United States (Al-Shukri et al., 1988), and eastern Asia (Phillips et al., 2000).

Shi et al. (1996) studied Q_{Lg} variation for five tectonically different regions of the northeastern United States. They used eight pairs of co-located earthquakes to determine accurate source spectrum corner frequencies by applying an empirical Green's function method to Pg and Lg or Sg phases. Based upon the corner frequencies, Sg or Lg displacement spectra were used to obtain values of Q and η values for 87 event-station paths at frequencies between 1 and 30 Hz. 1-Hz Q_o values for the five regions vary between 561 and 905 while η varies between 0.40 and 0.47.

7.2.5 Spectral decay methods for which assumptons are made about the source spectrum – Fundamental-mode Surface waves

A multi-mode spectral method has yielded simple crustal models (two or three layers) of shear wave Q (Q_μ) in a few regions. The method assumes a flat source spectrum and tries to match theoretical amplitude spectra to two sets of observed spectral amplitude data, one corresponding to the fundamental mode and the other to the superposition of higher modes that forms the longer-period (3-10 s) component of the Lg phase. The higher modes travel faster than all but the longest-period fundamental-mode energy observed on records for relately small events that are used with this method.

The first study using this method (Cheng and Mitchell, 1981) compared upper crustal Q_μ for three regions of North America and found values of 275 for the eastern United States, 160 for the Colorado Plateau, and 85 for the Basin and Range province. Kijko and Mitchell (1983) applied the method to the Barents Shelf, a region of relatively high-Q crystalline crust overlain by low-Q sediments. They found the method

to be sensitive to Q_μ in the sediments and upper crust but insensitive to Q_μ in the lower crust and to P-wave Q at all depths. Cong and Mitchell (1998) obtained models in which Q_μ is very low at all depths beneath the Iran/Turkish Plateaus and somewhat higher, but still much lower than expected beneath the Arabian Peninsula. Models they obtained using the multi-mode method, agree well with those they obtained using the two-station method.

Jemberie and Mitchell (2004) applied the method to China and peripheral regions and obtained three-layer crustal models with low Q_μ and wide variation across China. Values decrease with depth beneath regions such as southeastern China and increase with depth beneath other regions such as the eastern Tibetan Plateau. The following section on Tomographic Mapping of Crustal Q presents Q_μ maps obtained in that study.

7.3   Tomographic Mapping of Crustal Q

Seismologists are currently attempting to map variations of Q and its frequency variation in the Earth in as much detail as possible. For continents researchers have obtained tomographic maps for several broad regions using Lg coda, the direct Lg phase and surface waves. Studies of smaller regions, such as volcanoes and geothermal areas, have utilized P and S waves (e.g., Hough et al., 1999). In this review, we will restrict our discussion to the more broad-scale studies.

An earlier section described three early regionalized studies of surface-wave attenuation in the late 1970's and early 1980's, one for continental paths and two for oceanic paths in which the Eurasian continent, the Pacific Ocean and Atlantic Ocean were divided into two or three regions. Since then tomographic mapping using Lg coda has made possible a much finer regionalization of crustal Q in continents. This section will discuss tomography results for continents and for one oceanic region using either direct Lg, fundamental-mode surface waves, P and S waves.

We emphasize Lg coda since tomographic maps of Q^C_{Lg}, all obtained for the same frequency, and using the same methodology, are available for all continents except Antartica. This commonality in phase, frequency and method allows us to compare Q from one continent to that in others and also to various geophysical and geological properties. These comparisons contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms for seismic-wave attenuation in the crust..

Earlier sections have indicated that Lg coda has several properties that make it useful for tomographic studies. First, it is usually a large amplitude phase making it easily available for study in most regions

of the world. Second, it is a scattered wave and the averaging effect of that scattering makes Lg coda relatively insensitive to focusing. Third, the stacked spectral ratio (SSR) method used to determine Q_o and η tends to cancel site effects. Fourth, although it is a scattered wave, measurements of Q for seismic coda have been shown theoretically and computationally to yield measures of intrinsic Q (e.g. Frankel and Wennerberg, 1987; Mitchell, 1995; Sarker and Abers, 1999). If that is correct researchers can interpret Q variation in terms of Earth structure and evolution. Other studes, however, Aki (e.g. 1980); Gusev and Abubakirov (e.g. 1996) attribute energy loss to scattering. The mechanism, at this point must therefore be considered controversial.

As indicated earlier, Lg coda Q (Q_{Lg}^C) is typically assumed to follow a power-law frequency dependence, $Q_{Lg}^C = Q_o f^\eta$, where Q_o is the Q value at 1 Hz and η is the frequency dependence of Q near 1 Hz. Tomographic maps of the 1-Hz values of Q_{Lg}^C (Q_o and η) with nearly continent-wide coverage are now available for Eurasia (Mitchell et al., 1997, 2006), Africa (Xie and Mitchell, 1990b), South America (DeSouza and Mitchell, 1998) and Australia (Mitchell et al., 1998). In North America broad-scale determinations of Q_{Lg}^C are currently restricted to the United States (Baqer and Mitchell, 1998). These studies have shown a wide range of average Q_o values for different continents and a very wide range within each continent. Average Q_o tend to be higest in continents that contain the most old stable cratonic regions that have not undergone later tectonic or orogenic activity (e.g. Africa and South America).

Tomographic studies have also been completed for more restricted regions using the direct Lg phase in Eurasia, North America, and South America and high-resolution tomographic maps of Q for P and S waves are available for southern California. For oceanic regions, tomographic mapping of Q variations, to our knowledge, is currently available only for P-waves in one broad portion of the East Pacific rise (Wilcock et al., 1995).

Sarker and Abers (1998) showed that, for comparative studies, it is important that researchers use the same phase and methodology in comparative Q studies. The Lg coda Q maps presented here adhere to that principle; thus the continental-scale maps of Q_o and η for Lg coda at 1 Hz that are available can be considered to provide the closest thing to global Q coverage at crustal depth that currently exists. The maps also present the possibility for comparisons of Q_o variation patterns with variations of seismic velocity, temperature, plate subduction, seismicity, the surface velocity field and tectonics when that information is available.

A discussion of the inversion method for mapping Q_o and η appears, in detail, in Xie and Mitchell (1990b), and more briefly, in Mitchell et al. (1997). The method assumes that the area occupied by the

scattered energy of recorded Lg coda can be approximated by an ellipse with the source at one focus and the recording station at the other, as was shown theoretically by Malin (1978) to be the case for single scattering.

Xie and Mitchell (1990b) utilized a back-projection algorithm (Humphreys and Clayton, 1988) to develop a methodology for deriving tomographic images of Q_o and η over broad regions using a number of Q_o or η values determined from observed ground motion. Figures 13a, 14a, 15a, 16a, and 17a show the ellipses that approximate data coverage for the event-station pairs used in Q_{Lg}^C studies of Eurasia, Africa, South America, Australia and the United States. The inversion process assumes that each ellipse approximates the spatial coverage of scattered energy comprising late Lg coda. The areas of the ellipses grow larger with increasing lag time of the Lg coda components. The ellipses in the figure are plotted for maximum lag times used in the determination of Q_o and η. Ideally, each inversion should utilize many ellipses that are oriented in various directions and exhibit considerable overlap in order to obtain the redundancy needed to obtain the best possible resolution for features of interest. Xie and Mitchell (1990b) discuss the procedure in detail, presenting methods for obtaining standard errors for Q_o and η and for estimating spatial resolution.

Because Lg coda consists of scattered energy, it must be distributed, for each event-station pair, over an area surrounding the great-circle path between the source and receiver. Because of this areal coverage, our maps may not include effects of Lg blockage in regions where such blockage has been reported. We may have no data from blocked paths, but are likely to have other paths, such as those sub-parallel to the blocking feature or for which the source or recording station, represented by one focus of the scattering ellipse, lies near the blocking feature. In both cases portions of the scattering ellipses may overlap the blocking feature, but that feature will not substantially contribute to the values we obtain for Q_o and η.

A comparison of mapped Q_o for all continents (Figures 13b, 14b, 15b, 16b and 17b) shows that it is typically highest in the stable portions of continents and lowest in regions that are, or recently have been, tectonically active. Exceptions occur, however, especially for stable regions. For instance, the Arabian Peninsula, although being a stable platform shows Q_o variations between 300 and 450, values that are one-half or less of maximum values in other Eurasian platforms. Other regions showing lower than expected Q_o include the Siberian trap region of northern Siberia and the cratonic regions of Australia. The frequency dependence values of Q_{Lg}^C (η) in Figures 13c, 14c, 15c, 16c and 17c appear to show consistent relationships to Q_o in some individual continents. For instance, in both Africa and South America high-Q regions are typically regions of low η. That same relationship, however, does not occur

consistently in Eurasia, Australia or the United States. η is high, for instance, throughout much of the northern portions of Eurasia where Q_o is mostly high but is low in California, Kamchatka and a portion of southeast Asia where Q_o is very low.

7.3.1 Q_{Lg}^C, Q_{Lg} and Q_μ tomography in regions of Eurasia

Figure 13 shows maps of data coverage, Q_o and η across most of Eurasia (Mitchell et al., 2006). These maps represent a major increase in data coverage for northeastern Siberia, southeastern Asia, India and Spain, compared to those of an earlier study (Mitchell et al., 1997) and provide additional redundancy in regions where there was earlier coverage. As indicated by Figure 13a, the data coverage is excellent for virtually all of Eurasia. Low Q_o values extend through the Tethysides belt that extends across southern Eurasia from western Europe to eastern China and its southern portions appear to be related to subduction processes occurring at the present time. Q_o is generally high in the platforms of northern Eurasia and India (600-950). It is, however, low in the Kamchatka Peninsula and regions directly north of there which, like the Tethysides region are, seismically active. A conspicuous region of relatively low Q_o lies in central Siberia and corresponds spatially to the Siberian Traps.

The four zones with lowest Q values lie in the Kamchatka Peninsula in northeastern Siberia, the southeastern portions of the Tibetan Plateau and Himalaya, the Hindu Kush (just north of India) and western Turkey. All of these regions are also highly seismically active, a correspondence that suggests that low-Q regions are associated with regions of high crustal strain.

Other low-Q regions appear to be related to upper mantle processes. A comparison of Figure 13b with wave velocities at long periods (Ekström and Dziewonski, 1997), that are not sensitive to crustal properties, shows a correspondence of low Q regions with regions of low upper mantle velocities. This is most apparent for the broad band of low Q values throughout southern Eurasia but also occurs in the Siberian trap region of Siberia. Both the low-Q and low-velocity regions largely coincide with high upper mantle temperatures (Artemieva et al., 2001).

Patterns of frequency dependence (η) variation in Figure 13c, in contrast to those of Q_o variation, show no clear-cut relationship to tectonics. They similarly show no relation to patterns of Q_o variation. For instance, Kamchatka has low Q_o and low η while Spain has low Q_o and high η.

Seismologists have performed other tomographic studies for portions of Eurasia using Q_{Lg} and shear-wave Q (Q_μ). Xie et al. (2006) used a two-station version of the SSR method to determine more than 5000

spectal ratios over 594 paths in eastern Eurasia. They were able to obtain tomographic models for Q_o and η with resolution ranging between 4° and 10° in which Q_o varies between 100 and 900.

Using the single-station multi-mode method (Cheng and Mitchell, 1981), Jemberie and Mitchell (2004) obtained tomographic maps of shear-wave Q (Q_μ) for depth ranges of 0-10 km and 10-30 km. Although large standard errors accompany those determinations several features of their variation patterns, such as the low-Q regions in southern Tibet, resemble the map of Q_o variations (Figure 13b). Q_μ varies between about 30 and 280 in the upper 10 km of the crust and between about 30 and 180 at 10-30 km depth.

7.3.2 Q_{Lg}^C tomography in Africa

Xie and Mitchell (1990b), in the first tomographic study of Lg coda, determined Q_o and η for continental Africa. Figure 14 shows maps of data coverage, Q_o and η for that entire continent (Xie and Mitchell, 1990b). The feature in the Q_o map (Figure 14b) that is most obviously related to tectonics is the low-Q region that broadly coincides with the East African rift system. The three high- Q regions correspond to Precambrian cratons: the West African Craton in the northwest, the East Sahara Craton in the northeast, and the Kalahari Craton in the south. The Congo Craton, situated just to the north of the Kalahari Craton does not show up as a region of high Q, probably because waves are damped by a broad and deep basin of low-Q sedimentary rock in that region.

Low Q_o values also occur in the Atlas Mountains (Cenozoic age) of northern Africa, the Cape Fold Belt (Permo-Triassic age) at the southern tip of Africa and the Cameroon Line (Miocene age) which is oriented in a NNE-SSW direction from the point where the western coast changes direction from east-west to

7.3.3 Q_{Lg}^C and Q_{Lg} tomography in South America

Figure 15 shows data coverage, and values of Q_o and η obtained for South America (DeSouza and Mitchell, 1998). The data coverage (Figure 15a) is excellent throughout western South America where seismicity is high but poor in eastern regions, especially the most easterly regions, where there are few earthquakes. Figure 15b shows that, as expected, the low-Q (250-450) portion of South America is associated with the tectonically active western coastal regions. The lowest Q_o values occur along the coast between 15° and 25° S latitude where the level of intermediate-depth seismicity is highest in the continent. The slab in this region also dips steeply ($> 20^o$) and significant volcanism occurs (Chen et al., 2001). Davies (1999) had studied the role of hydraulic fractures and intermediate-depth earthquakes in generating subduction zone

magmatism. He suggested that the level of intermediate-depth earthquakes was high because liberated fluids favored brittle fracture in response to stresses acting on the slab. Q levels may be low there because earthquake activity creates a degree of permeability that allows dehydrated fluids to be transported to the mantle wedge.

Ojeda and Ottemöller (2002), developed maps of Lg attenuation for most of Colombia at various frequencies in the 0.5-5.0 Hz range. They delineated regional variations of Q_{Lg} within that relatively small region.

7.3.4 Q_{Lg}^C tomography in Australia

Figure 16 shows the data coverage and values of Q_o and η obtained for Australia (Mitchell et al., 1998). Data coverage (Figure 16a) there was the poorest of the five continents where Q_{Lg}^C was mapped over continent-scale dimensions. Q_o variation there is consistent, however, with the other studies and, for all but peripheral regions where systematic measurement errors can be high, it displays a clear relationship with past tectonic activity. Q_o in Australia (Figure 16b) is unusually low for a stable continental region, perhaps because a velocity gradient rather than a sharp interface separates the crust and mantle.

Highest values range between 550 and 600 in the southeastern corner of the continent (the Yilgarn Block) and along the southern coast (the Gawler Block). Values between 400 and 500 characterize much of the remaining cratonic crust. This compares with values of 800 and higher in most of the African and South American cratons. The youngest crust lies in eastern Australia where Q_o is between 350 and 400. An orogeny occurred there during the Devonian period and another occurred in the eastern portion of that region during the Permian period. Low Q_o values in the most westerly point of the continent and along the northern coast are probably due to very poor data coverage there (Figure 16a) and are probably meaningless.

7.3.5 Q_{Lg}^C, Q_{Lg} and P/S tomography in North America

Figure 17 shows the data coverage, Q_o, and η values obtained for the United States (Baqer and Mitchell, 1998). Coverage (Figure 17a) is best in the western portions of the country, but is also reasonably good in the central and eastern portons. Figure 17b shows that Q_o is lowest (250-300) in California and the Basin and Range Province. That low-Q region forms the core of a broader region of low Q_o values that extends approximately to the western edge of the Rocky Mountains. Q_o in the Rocky Mountains and much of

the Great Plains ranges between about 450 and 600. A moderately high-Q (mostly 600-700) corridor extends from Missouri to the north Atlantic states and New England. Q_o in New York and peripheral regions is somewhat higher (700-750). An earlier study of Q_{Lg} in the northeastern United States (Shi et al., 1996) found Q_o and η values that are similar to the country-wide tomographically derived values in that region.

Two research groups have studied the three-dimensional structure of P-wave and S-wave attenuation in southern California. Schlotterbeck and Abers (2001) fit theoretical spectra to observed spectra using the least-square minimization method of Hough et al. (1988), and determined t^* for P and S waves at frequencies between 0.5 and 25 Hz. Their inversions showed that P and S results were in substantial agreement, that Q varies spatially and correlates with regional tectonics.

The second California study (Hauksson, 2006) also determined t^* from $P-$ and $S-$wave spectra. They assumed that t^* consists of the sum of the whole-path attenuation and the local site effect at each recording station and utilized an expression of Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwick (2002) for the velocity spectrum. The inversion, in addition to providing t^*, yields parameters defining the velocity amplitude spectra. They determined t^* for about 340,000 seismograms from more than 5000 events of t^* data to obtain three-dimensional, frequency-independent crustal models for Q_P and Q_S in the crust and uppermost mantle in southern California. They found that both Q_P and Q_S generally increase with depth from values of 50 or less in surface sediments to 1000 and greater at mid-crustal depths. Their models reflect major tectonic structures to a much greater extent than they reflect the thermal structure of the crust.

Al-Eqabi and Wysession (2006) conducted a tomographic study of Q_{Lg} in the Basin and Range province using a genetic algorithm technique. They found that Q_{Lg} increases (234-312) in a southwest-northeast direction across the Basin and Range in good agreement with the variation of Q_{Lg}^C reported by Baqer and Mitchell (1998). As part of a broader study of Lg wave propagation in southern Mexico Ottemöller et al. (2002) used formal inversion methods to separately obtain tomographic maps of Q_{Lg} at three frequencies (0.5, 2.0 and 5.0 Hz) using 1° by 1° cells. Because of uneven path coverage in southern Mexico they applied regularization conditions to their inversion equations. They found lower than average Q_{Lg} in the Gulf of Mexico coastal plain and the area east of 94^oW, average values in the northern portion of the Pacific coastal region, and below average values in the southern portion.

7.3.6 Q_P variation near ocean ridges

Wilcock et al. (1995) developed a spectral technique by which they determined the attenuation of P waves in an active-source experiment centered at the East Pacific Rise at latitude 9^o30'N. It is, to date, the only tomographic study of crustal Q variation in an oceanic region. They obtained over 3500 estimates of t^*, the path integral of the product of Q^{-1} and P-wave velocity where both quantities are variable along the path. Wilcock et al. display plots of both cross-axis as well as along-axis structure for upper crustal and lower crustal Q^{-1} structure. Within the ridge magma chamber Q reaches minimum values of 20-50 which extend to the base of the crust. Off the ridge axis they find that Q in the upper crust is 35-50 and at least 500-1000 at depths greater than 2-3 km. Figure 18 shows along-axis variations of upper crustal structure.

7.3.7 Variation of Crustal Q with time

Several investigators (e.g., Chouet, 1979; Jin and Aki, 1986) have reported temporal variations of Q over time scales of a few years. Although this observation has been reported several times, it continues to be controversial. Spatial patterns of Q variation and their apparent relation to time that has elapsed since the most recent episode of tectonic activity in any region, however, suggest that temporal variations of Q over very long periods of time can easily be detected. Mitchell and Cong (1998) found that variation for Q_{Lg}^C at 1 Hz extends between roughly 250, for broad regions that are currently tectonically active, and about 1000 for shields that have been devoid of tectonic activity for a billion years or more (Figure 19). Mitchell et al. (1997) explained those observations as being due to variable volumes of fluids in faulted, fractured and permeable rock. Fluids may enhance the rate of attenuation of seismic waves either because the waves must expend energy to push those fluids through permeable rock as they propagate or they may traversef a region of enhanced scattering that causes loss of wave energy into the mantle. Figure 19 points to an evolutionary process in which fluids are relatively abundant in tectonically active regions due to their generation by hydrothermal reactions at high temperatures. With time, fluids are gradually lost, either by migration to the Earth's surface or absorption due to retrograde metamorphism. This process causes low Q values early in the tectonic cycle and gradually increasing values at later times as the fluids dissipate.

8   Conclusions

Much progress has been made in the last 10 years in characterizing the lateral variations of attenuation in the crust, especially under continents, and their relation to tectonics. Characterizing the nature and distribution of attenuation deeper in the Earth still remains a challenging subject, because of the persistent difficulties in separating anelastic and scattering effects, and the non-uniform sampling achieved with available data.

Still, it is encouraging to see that there is now consistency in the large scale features of lateral variations in Q in the upper 200 km of the mantle and that accounting for focusing effects using a single scattering approximation in present-day elastic 3D models is helpful, at least at the longest wavelengths. It is still important to consider that, when the inversion experiment is well designed, the unmodelled scattering effects can be minimized, or even utilized to constrain velocity models (e.g. Dalton and Ekström, 2006b), but the consequence is that significant damping needs to be applied in the inversion for lateral variations in Q. This results in large uncertainties (a factor of two or more) in the amplitudes of lateral variations of Q, so that physical interpretations of these models must remain tentative. On the other hand, the spatial distribution of low and high Q regions is, in general, more robust. Thus far, only one research group has produced models of lateral variations of Q_μ in the transition zone. While the results are consistent within this group using different methodologies (Romanowicz, 1995; Gung and Romanowicz, 2004) and point to an intriguing correlation with structure at the base of the mantle (Romanowicz and Gung, 2002), these results need to be confirmed by independent studies.

At the regional, uppermost mantle scale, a promising trend, made possible by improved data and techniques, is to combine attenuation, velocity and other geophysical parameters to better constrain lateral variations of temperature and composition. An ambitious goal would be to do the same at the global - and deeper - scale. Progress in laboratory experiments on Q at seismic frequencies is just starting to provide reliable mineral physics parameters on the behavior of Q at depths down to the upper mantle low velocity zone, so that joint inversions of seismic waveform data for elastic and anelastic 3D structure can be envisaged (e.g. Cammarano and Romanowicz, 2006). The challenge here is in the non-linearities introduced by the exponential variation of Q with temperature, on the one hand, and the variation in the position of mineral phase changes depending on composition.

Important uncertainties remain in the characterization of the 1D profile of Q_μ in the mantle. First and foremost, explaining once and for all the discrepancy between free oscillations and surface wave

measurements, which has consequences for the value of Q_μ in the transition zone. Because there are still unexplained discrepancies between elastic models of the upper mantle, and particularly the transition zone, produced from seismic data on the one hand, and from mineral physics data and computations, on the other (e.g. Cammarano et al., 2003), it is particularly important to apply accurate anelastic corrections when interpreting seismic velocity models in terms of composition. The evidence for a maximum in Q_μ in the lower mantle, and therefore lower Q_μ at the base of the mantle, is now clear, however, the location of this maximum is not well constrained.

The presence of hemispherical variations in Q_α at the top of the inner core, the confirmation of increasing Q with depth and of anisotropy in Q_α correlated with anisotropy in velocity are exciting results that need further investigation, in particular in the light of recent studies by Li and Cormier (2002) favoring a scattering interpretation of attenuation in the inner core.

Finally, in the shallow earth, it has been found over the past three decades that crustal Q, as manifested by regional studies of body waves, Lg and Lg coda, varies tremendously across contients as well as in average values of different continents. Q_o for Lg coda varies between about 150 and 1000 for features that can be resolved and 1-Hz frequency and the frequency dependence of Lg coda Q varies between about 0.0 and 1.0. Most Q determinations at short periods in any region appear to be governed, most prominently, by the time that has elapsed since the most recent episode of tectonic or orogenic activity there.

References

Abercrombie R E 1997 Near-surface attenuation and site effects from comparison of surface and deep borehole recordings. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **87**, 731–744

Abercrombie R E 2000 Crustal attenuation and site effects at Parkfield, California. *J. Geophys. Res.* **105**, 6277–6286

Aki K 1969 Analysis of the seismic coda of local earthquakes as scattered waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **74**, 615–631

Aki K 1980 Scattering and attenuation of shear waves in the lithosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 6496–6504

Akopyan S T, Zharkov V N, Lyubimov V M 1976 Corrections to the eigenfrewquencies of the Earth due to dynamic shear modulus. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **12**, 625–630

Al-Eqabi G I, Wysession M E 2006 Q_{Lg} distribution in the Basin and Range province of the western United States. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **96**, 348–354

Al-Khatib H H, Mitchell B J 1991 Upper mantle anelasticity and tectonic evolution of the western United States from surface wave attenuation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **96**, 18,129–18,146

Al-Shukri H J, Mitchell B J, Ghalib H A A 1988 Attenuation of seismic waves in the New Madrid seismic zone. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* **59**, 133–140

Allen R M, Nolet G, Morgan W J, Vogfjörd K, Bergsson B H, Erlendsson P, Foulger G R, Jakobsdóttir S, Julian B R, RPtichard M, Ragnarsson S, Stefánsson R 1999 The thin hot plume beneath Iceland. *Geophys. J. Int.* **137**, 51–63

Anderson D L, Archambeau C B 1966 The anelasticity of the Earth. *J. Geophys. Res.* **69**, 2071–2084

Anderson D L, Given J W 1982 Absorption band Q model for the earth. *J. Geophys. Res.* **87**, 3893–3904

Anderson D L, Hart R S 1976 An earth model based on free oscillations and body waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **81**, 1461–1475

Anderson D L, Hart R S 1978 Q of the Earth. *J. Geophys. Res.* **83**, 5869–5882

Anderson D L, Ben-Menahem A, Archambeau C B 1965 Attenuation of seismic energy in the upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **70**, 1441–1448

Andrews A, Deuss A, Woodhouse J 2006 Coupled normal mode sensitivity to inner core shear velocity and attenuation. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **in press**

Angenheister G H 1906 Bestimmung der fortpflanzungsgeschwindigkeit und absorption von erdbeben-wellen, die durch den gegenpunkt des Herdes gegangen sind. *Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen* , 110–120

Angenheister G H 1921 Beobachtungen an pazifischen beben. *Nachrichten von der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen* , 113–146

Artemieva I M, , Mooney W D 2001 Thermal evolution of precambrian lithosphere; a global study. *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 16,387–16,414

Artemieva I M, Billien M, Lèvêque J J, Mooney W D 2004 Shear-wave velocity, seisic attenuation, and thermal structure of the continental upper mantle. *Geophys. J. Int.* **157**, 607–628

Asada T, Takano K 1963 Attenuation of short-period P waves in the mantle. *J. Phys. Earth* **11**, 25–34

Baqer S, Mitchell B J 1998 Regional variation of Lg coda Q in the continental United States and its relation to crustal structure and evolution. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 613–638

Barazangi M, Isaaks B 1971 Lateral Variations of Seismic-Wave Attenuation in the Upper Mantle above the Inclined Earthquake Zone of the Tonga Island Arc; Deep Anomaly in the Upper Mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **76**, 8493–8516

Barazangi M, Pennington W, Isaaks B 1975 Global Study of Seismic Wave Attenuation in the Upper Mantle Behind Island Arcs Using P Waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **80**, 1079–1092

Ben-Menahem A 1965 Observed attenuation and Q values of seismic surface waves in the upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **70**, 4641–4651

Benz H M, Frankel A, Boore D M 1997 Regional Lg attenuation for the continental United States. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **87**, 606–619

Bhattacharyya J, , Masters G, Shearer P 1996 Global lateral variations of shear wave attenuation in the upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **101**, 22,273–22,289

Billien M, Lévêque J 2000 Global maps of Rayleigh wave attenuation for periods between 40 and 150 seconds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27**, 3,619–3,622

Bollinger G A 1979 Attenuation of the Lg phase and determination of m_b in thee southeastern United States. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **69**, 45–63

Bolt B A 1977 The detection of PKIIKP and damping in the inner core. *Ann. Geofis.* **30**, 507–520

Bowers 2000 Observations of PKP(DF) and PKP(BC) across the United Kingdom; implications for studies of attenuation in the Earth's core. *Geophys. J. Int.* **140**, 374–384

Bowman J R, Kennett B L N 1991 Propagation of *Lg* waves in the North Australian craton: Influence of crustal velocity gradients. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **81**, 592–610

Bowman R J 1988 Body wave attenuation structure in the Tonga subduction zone. *J. Geophys. Res.* **93**, 2125–2139

Boyd O S, Sheehan A F 2005 Attenuation tomography beneath the rocky mountain front: implications for the Physical State of the Upper Mantle. *in The Rocky Montain Region: an evolving lithosphere, Geophys. Monogr. Series* **154**, 361–377

Brune J N 1970 Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear waves from earthquakes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **75**, 4997–5009

Buland R, Gilbert F 1978 Improved resoluion of complex eigenfrewquencies in analytically continued seismic spectra. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **52**, 457–470

Cammarano F, Romanowicz B 2006 Insights into the nature of the transition zone from physically constrained inversion of long period seismic data. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **138**, 197–222

Cammarano F, Goes S, Vacher P, Giardini D 2003 Inferring upper-mantle temperatures from seismic velocities. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **138**, 197–222

Campillo M, Plantet J L, Bouchon M 1985 Frequency-dependent attenuation in the crust beneath central France from *Lg* waves: Data analysis and numerical modeling. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **75**, 1395–1411

Canas J A, Mitchell B J 1978 Lateral variation of surface-wave anelastic attenuation across the Pacific. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **68**, 1637–1650

Canas J A, Mitchell B J 1981 Rayleigh-wave attenuation and its variation across the Atlantic Ocean. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **67**, 159–176

Cao A 2005 *Seismological Constraints on Inner Core Properties*. Ph.d. dissertation, Univ. of California at Berkeley., Berkeley, CA

Cao A, Romanowicz B 2004 Hemispherical transition of seismic attenuation at the top of the earth's inner core. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **228**, 243–253

Cao A, Romanowicz B, Takeuchi N 2005 An observation of $PKJKP$: inferences on inner core shear properties. *Science* **308**, 1453–1455

Carcione J M, Cavallini F 1995 A rheological model for anelastic anisotropic media with applications to seismic wave propagation. *Geophys. J. Int.* **119**, 338–348

Carpenter P J, Sanford A R 1985 Apparent Q for upper crustal rocks of the central Rio Grande Rift. *J. Geophys. Res.* **90**, 8661–8674

Chan W W, Der Z A 1988 Attenuation of multiple ScS in various parts of the world. *Geophys. J. Int.* **92**, 303–314

Chavez D, Priestley K F 1986 Measurement of frequency dependent Lg attenuation in the Great Basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **60**, 551–554

Chen P F, Bina C R, Okal E A 2001 Variations in slab dip along the subducting Nazca plate, as related to stress patterns and moment release of intermediate-depth seismicity and to surface volcanism. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **2**, 2001GC000,153

Cheng C C, Mitchell B J 1981 Crustal Q structure in the United States from multi-mode surface waves. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **71**, 161–181

Cheng H X, Kennett B L N 2002 Frequency dependence of seismic wave attenuation in the upper mantle in the Australian region. *Geophys. J. Int.* **150**, 45–47

Chouet B 1979 Temporal variation in the attenuation of earthquake coda near Stone Canyon, California. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **6**, 143–146

Choy G, Cormier V F 1983 The structure of the inner core inferred from short-period and broadband GDSN data. *Geophys. J. Int.* **63**, 457–470

Chun K Y, West G F, Kikoski R J, Samson C 1987 A novel technique for measuring Lg attenuation - Results from eastern Canada between 1-Hz and 10-Hz. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **77**, 398–419

Cong L, Mitchell B J 1998 Seismic velocity and Q structure of the Middle Eastern crust and upper mantle from surface-wave dispsersion and attenuation. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 503–538

Cormier V F 1981 Short period PKP pahses and the anelastic mechanism of the inner core. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **24**, 291–301

Cormier V F 2007 xx **1**, xx–xx

Cormier V F, Li X 2002 Frequency-dependent seismic attenuation in the inner core; 2. A scattering and fabric interpretation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, doi:10.1029/2002JB001,796

Cormier V F, Richards P 1976 Comments on the damping of core waves by Anthony Qamar and Alfredo Eisenberg. *J. Geophys. Res.* **81**, 3066–3068

Cormier V F, Richards P 1988 *Spectral synthesis of body waves in Earth models specified by vertically varying layers*, volume Seismological Algorithms. Academic P.,San Diego, Calif.

Cormier V F, Stroujkova A 2006 Waveform search for the innermost inner core. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **in press**

Cormier V F, Xu L, Choy G L 1998 Seismic attenuation of the inner core: viscoelastic or stratigraphic? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25**, 4019–4022

Creager K C 1992 Anisotropy of the inner core from differential travel times of the phases PKP and $PKIKP$. *Nature* **356**, 309–314

Creager K C 1999 Large-scale variations in inner core anisotropy. *J. Geophys. Res.* **104**, 23,127–23,139

D Giardini X D L, Woodhouse J H 1988 Splitting functions of long period normal modes of the Earth. *J. Geophys. Res.* **93**, 13,716–13,742

Dainty A M 1981 A scattering model to explain Q observations in the lithosphere between 1 and 30 Hz. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **8**, 1126–1128

Dalton C, Ekström G 2006a Constraints on global maps of phase velocity from surface-wave amplitudes. *Geophys. J. Int.* **in press**

Dalton C, Ekström G 2006b Global models of surface wave attenuation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**, doi:10.1029/2005JB003,997

Davies J H 1999 The role of hydraulic fractures and intermediate-depth earthquakes in generating subduction-zone magmatism. *nature* **398**, 142–145

Der Z A, McElfresh T W, ODonnell A 1982 An investigation of the regional variations and frequency dependence of anelastic attenuation in the mantle under the United States in the 0.5-4 Hz band. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **69**, 67–99

Deschamps A 1977 Inversion of the attenuation data of free oscillations of the earth (fundamental and first higher modes). *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **50**, 699–722

DeSouza J L, Mitchell B J 1998 *Lg* coda *Q* variations across South America and their relation to crustal evolution. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 587–612

Ding C Y, Grand S P 1993 Upper mantle Q structure under the East Pacific Rise. *J. Geophys. Res.* **98**, 1973–1975

Doornbos D J 1974 The anelasticity of the inner core. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **38**, 397–415

Doornbos D J 1983 Observable effects of the seismic absorption band in the earth. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **57**, 381–395

Durek J J, Ekström G 1995 Evidence for bulk attenuation in the asthenosphere from recordings of the Bolia earthquake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22**, 2309–2312

Durek J J, Ekström G 1996 A radial model of anelasticity consistent with long-period surface wave attenuation. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **86**, 144–158

Durek J J, Ekström G 1997 Investigating discrepancies among measurements of traveling and standing wave attenuation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 24,529–24,544

Durek J J, Ritzwoller M H, Woodhouse J H 1993 Constraining upper mantle anelasticity using surface wave amplitude anomalies. *Geophys. J. Int.* **114**, 249–272

Dziewonski A M, Anderson D L 1981 Preliminary Reference Earth Model. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **25**, 297–356

Dziewonski A M, Steim J M 1982 Dispersion and attenuation of mantle waves through waveform inversion. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **70**, 503–527

Eberhart-Phillips D, Chadwick M 2002 Three-dimensional attenuation model of the shallow Hikurangi subduction zone in the Raukumara Peninsula, New Zealand. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, 2033, doi:10.1029/2000JB000,046

Ekström G, Dziewonski A M 1997 The unique anisotropy of the Pacific upper mantle. *Nature* **394**, 168–172

Evernden J F 1955 Tripartite results for the Kamchatka earthquake of November 4, 1952. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **45**, 167–178

Faul U, Jackson I 2005 The seismological signature of temperature and grain size variations in the upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **234**, 119–134

Faul U, FitzGerald J, Jackson I 2004 Shear wave attenuation and dispersion in melt-bearing olivine polycrystals; 2, Microstructural interpretation and seismological implications. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, doi:10.1029/2003JB002,407

Fearn D R, Loper D E, Roberts P H 1981 Structure of the Earth's inner core. *Nature* **292**, 232–233

Fisher J L, Wysession M E 2003 Small-scale lateral variations in D" attenuation and velocity structure. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**, doi:10.1029/2002GL016,179

Flanagan M P, Wiens D A 1990 Attenuation structure beneath the Lau back arc spreading center from teleseismic S phases. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **17**, 2117–2120

Flanagan M P, Wiens D A 1994 Radial upper mantle structure of inactive back-arc basins from differential shear wave measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 15,469–15,485

Flanagan M P, Wiens D A 1998 Attenuation of broadband P and S waves in Tonga; observations of frequency dependent Q. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 345–375

Frankel A, Wennerberg L 1987 Energy-flux model for seismic coda: Separation of scattering and intrinsic attenuation. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **77**, 1223–1251

Futterman A 1962 Dispersive body waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **67**, 5279–5291

Garcia R 2002 Constraints on upper inner-core structure from waveform inversion of core phases. *Geophys. J. Int.* **150**, 651–664

Geller R J, Stein S 1978 Time domain measurements of attenuation of fundamental modes $(_0S_6 -_0 S)28)$. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **69**, 1671–1691

Getting I C, Dutton S J, Burnley P C, Karato S I, Spetzler H A 1997 Shear attenuation and dispersion in MgO. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **99**, 249–257

Gilbert F, Dziewonski A M 1975 An application of normal mode theory to the retrieval of structural parameters and source mechanisms from seismic spectra. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **278**, 187–269

Gomer B M, Okal E A 2003 Multiple-ScS probing of the Ontong-Java Plateau. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **138**, 317–331

Gueguen Y, Darot M, Mazot P, Woirgard J 1989 Q^{-1} of forsterite single crystals. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **55**, 254–258

Gung Y, Romanowicz B A 2004 Q tomography of the upper mantle using three component long period waveforms. *Geophys. J. Int.* **157**, 813–830

Gung Y, Panning M, Romanowicz B A 2003 Anisotropy and thickness of the lithosphere. *Nature* **422**, 707–711

Gusev A A, Abubakirov I R 1996 Simulated envelopes of non-isotropically scattered body waves as copared to observed ones: Another manifestion of fractal inhomogeneity. *Geophys. J. Int.* **127**, 49–60

Gutenberg B 1924 Dispersion und extinktion von seismischen oberflächenwellen und der aufbau der obersten erdschichten. *Phys. Zeitschr.* **25**, 377–381

Gutenberg B 1945a Amplitudes of P, PP, and SS and magnitude of shallow earthquakes. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **35**, 57–69

Gutenberg B 1945b Amplitudes of surface waves and magnitudes of shallow earthquakes. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **35**, 3–12

Gutenberg B 1958 Attenuation of seismic waves in the Earth's mantle. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **48**, 269–282

Hasegawa H S 1974 Theoretical synthesis and analysis of strong motion spectra of earthquakes. *Can. Geotech. J.* **11**, 278–297

Hasegawa H S 1985 Attenuation of *Lg* waves in the Canadian shield. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **75**, 1569–1582

Hauksson E 2006 Attenuation models (Q_P and Q_S) in three dimensions of the southern California crust: Inferred fluid saturation at seismogenic depths. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**, B05,302, doe:10.129/2005JB003,947

He X, Tromp J 1996 Normal-mode constraints on the structure of the Earth. *J. Geophys. Res.* **101**, 20,053–20,082

Helffrich G, Kaneshima S, Kendall J M 2002 A local, crossing-path study of attenuation and anisotropy of the inner core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29**, 10.1029/2001GL014,059

Herrmann R B 1980 *Q* estimates using the coda of local earthquakes. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **70**, 447–468

Herrmann R B, Mitchell B J 1975 Statistical analysis and interpretation of surface-wave anelastic attenuation data for the stable interior of North America. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **65**, 1115–1128

Hough S E, Anderson J G, Brune J, Vernon III F, Berger J, Fletcher J, Haar L, Hanks T, Baker L 1988 Attenuation near Anza, California. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **78**, 672–691

Hough S E, Lees J M, Monastero F 1999 Attenuation and source properties at the Coso geothermal area, California. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **89**, 1606–1619

Humphreys E, Clayton R W 1988 Adaptation of back projection tomography to seismic travel time problems. *J. Geophys. Res.* **93**, 1073–1086

Hwang H J, Mitchell B J 1987 Shear velocities, Q_β, and the frequency dependence of Q_β in stable and tectonically active regions from surface wave observations. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **90**, 575–613

Ichikawa M, Basham P W 1965 Variations in short-period records from Canadian seismograph stations. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **2**, 510–542

Isse T, Nakanishi I 1997 The effect of the crust on the estimation of mantle Q from spectral ratios of multiple ScS phases. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **87**, 778–781

Ivan M, Marza V, de Farias Caixeta D, de Melo Arraes T 2005 Uppermost inner core attenuation from PKP data at South American seismological stations. *Geophys. J. Int.* **164**, 441–448

J Bhattacharyya J, Shearer P, Masters G 1993 Inner core attenuation from short period $PKP(BC)$ versus $PKP(DF)$ waveforms. *Geophys. J. Int.* **114**, 1–11

Jackson I 1993 Progress in the experimental study of seismic wave attenuation. *Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **21**, 375–406

Jackson I 2000 Laboratory measurement of seismic wave dispersion and attenuation: Recent progress. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, doi:10.1029/2003JB002,406

Jackson I 2007 xx **x**, xx–xx

Jackson I, Faul U H, Fitzgerald J D, Tan B H 2004 Shear wave attenuation and dispersion in melt-bearing olivine polycrystals: 1. Specimen fabrication and mechanical testing. *Earth's deep interior: mineral physics and Tomography from the atomic to the global scale, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.* **117**, 265–289

Jeffreys H 1967 Radius of the Earth's core. *Nature* **215**, 1365–1366

Jemberie A L, Mitchell B J 2004 Shear-wave Q structure and its lateral variation in the crust of China and surrounding regions. *Geophys. J. Int.* **157**, 363–380

Jin A, Aki K 1986 Temporal change in coda Q before the Tangshan earthquake of 1976 and the Haicheng earthquake of 1975. *J. Geophys. Res.* **91**, 665–673

Jobert N, Roult G 1976 Periods and damping of free oscillations observed in France after 16 earthquakes. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **45**, 155–176

Jordan T H, Sipkin S S 1977 Estimation of the attenuation operator for multiple ScS waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **4**, 167–170

Kanamori H 1970 Velocity and Q of mantle waves. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **2**, 259–275

Kanamori H, Anderson D L 1977 Importance of physical dispersion in surface- wave and free oscillation problems. *Rev. Geophys.* **15**, 105–112

Karato S I 1993 Importance of anelasticity in the interpretation of seismic tomography. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **20**, 1623–1626

Karato S I 1998 A dislocation model of seismic wave attenuation and micro-creep in the Earth; Harold Jeffreys and the rheology of the solid earth. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 239–256

Karato S I, Karki B B 2001 Origin of lateral variation of seismic wave velocities and density in the deep mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 21,771–21,783

Karato S I, Spetzler H 1990 Defect microdymamics in minerals and solid state mechanisms of seismic wave attenuation and velocity dispersion in the mantle. *Rev. Geophys.* **28**, 399–421

Kijko A, Mitchell B J 1983 Multimode Rayleigh wave attenuation and Q_β in the crust of the Barents shelf. *J. Geophys. Res.* **88**, 3315–3328

Knopoff L 1964 *Q. Rev. Geophys.* **2**, 625–660

Komatitsch D, Ritsema J, Tromp J 2002 The spectral-element method, Beowulf computing, and global seismology. *Science* **298**, 1737–1742

Kovach R L, Anderson D L 1964 Attenuation of shear waves in the upper and lower mantle. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **54**, 1855–1864

Krasnoshchekov D N, Kaazik P B, Ovtchinnikov V M 2005 Seismological evidence for mosaic structure of the surface of the Earth's inner core. *Nature* **435**, 483–487

Kumazawa M, Imanashi Y, Fukao Y, Furumoto M, Yananoto A 1990 A theory of spectral analysis based on the characteristic property of a linear dynamical system. *Geophys. J. Int.* **101**, 613–630

Kuster G T 1972 *Seismic wave propagation in two-phase media and its application to the earth's interior.* Ph.d. dissertation, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass.

Lawrence J F, Wysession M E 2006 QLM9: a new radial quality factor (Q_{mu}) model for the lower mantle,. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **241**, 962–971

Lawrence J F, Shearer P, Masters G 2006 Mapping attenuation beneath North America using waveform cross-correlation and cluster analysis. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33**, doi:10.1029/2006GL025,813

Lay T, Kanamori H 1985 Geometric effects of global lateral heterogeneity on long-period surface wave propagation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **90**, 605–621

Lay T, Wallace T 1983 Multiple ScS travel times and attenuation beneath Mexico and central America. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **10**, 301–304

Lay T, Wallace T 1988 Multiple ScS attenuation and travel times beneath western north America. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **78**, 2041–2061

Lee W B, Solomon S C 1979 Simultaneous inversion of surface-wave phase velocity and attenuation: Rayleigh and Love waves over continental and oceanic paths. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **69**, 65–95

Li X, Cormier V F 2002 Frequency-dependent seismic attenuation in the inner core; 1. A viscoelastic interpretation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, doi:10.1029/2002JB001,795

Li X D 1990 *Asphericity of the Earth from free oscillations.* Ph.d. dissertation, Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Mass.

Li X D, Romanowicz B 1995 Comparison of global waveform inversions with and without considering cross branch coupling. *Geophys. J. Int.* **121**, 695–709

Liu H P, Anderson D L, Kanamori H 1976 Velocity dispersion due to anelasticity: implication for seismology and mantle composition. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **47**, 41–58

Lognonné P, Romanowicz B 1990 Modelling of coupled normal modes of the Earth: the spectral method. *Geophys. J. Int.* **102**, 365–395

Lomnitz C 1957 Linear dissipation in solids. *J. Applied Phys.* **28**, 201–205

Loper D E, Fean D R 1983 A seismic model of a partially molten inner core. *J. Geophys. Res.* **88**, 1235–1242

Loper D E, Roberts P H 1981 A study of conditions at the inner core boundary of the Earth. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **24**, 302–307

Luh P 1974 Normal modes of a rotating, self gravitating inhomogeneous Earth. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **38**, 187–224

Lundquist G M, Cormier V C 1980 Constraints on the absorption band model of Q. *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5244–5256

Malin P E 1978 *A first order scattering solution for modeling lunar and terrestrial seismic coda.* Ph.d. dissertation, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ

Masters G, Gilbert F 1981 Structure of the inner core inferred from observations of its spheroidal shear modes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **8**, 569–571

Masters G, Gilbert F 1983 Attenuation in the Earth at low frequencies. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **308**, 479–522

Masters G, Laske G 1997 On bias in surface wave and free oscillation attenuation measurements. *Eos Trans. AGU* **78**, F485

Mills J, Hales A 1978 Great circle Rayleigh wave attenuation and group velocity, part III, Inversion of global average group velocity and attenuation coefficients. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **17**, 307–322

Minster B, Anderson D L 1981 A model of dislocation controlled rheology for the mantle. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **299**, 319–356

Mitchell B J 1973 Radiation and attenuation of Rayleigh waves from the southeastern Missouri earthquake of October 21, 1965. *J. Geophys. Res.* **78**, 886–899

Mitchell B J 1975 Regional Rayleigh wave attenuation on North America. *J. Geophys. Res.* **35**, 4904–4916

Mitchell B J 1995 Anelastic structure and evolution of the continental crust and upper mantle from seismic surface wave attenuation. *Rev. Geophys.* **33**, 441–462

Mitchell B J, Cong L 1998 Lg coda Q and its relation to the structure and evolution of continents: A global perspective. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 655–663

Mitchell B J, Helmberger D V 1973 Shear velocities at the base of the mantle from observations of S and ScS. *J. Geophys. Res.* **78**, 6009–6020

Mitchell B J, Hwang H J 1987 The effect of low-Q sediments and crustal Q on Lg attenuation in the United States. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **77**, 1197–1210

Mitchell B J, Xie J 1994 Attenuation of multiphase surface waves in the Basin and Range province, III, Inversion for crustal anelasticity. *Geophys. J. Int.* **116**, 468–484

Mitchell B J, Pan Y, Xie J, Cong L 1997 *Lg* coda Q across Eurasia and its relation to crustal evolution. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 22,767–22,779

Mitchell B J, Baqer S, Akinci A, Cong L 1998 *Lg* coda Q in Australia and its relation to crustal structure and evolution. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 639–653

Mitchell B J, Cong L, Ekström G 2006 A continent-wide 1-Hz map of *Lg* coda Q variation across Eurasiaand its implications for lithospheric evolution. *J. Geophys. Res.* , submitted

Modiano T, Hatzfeld D 1982 Experimental study of the spectral content for shallow earthquakes. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **72**, 1739–1758

Molnar P, Oliver J 1969 Lateral variations in attenuation in the upper mantle and discontinuities in the lithosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* **74**, 2648–2682

Montagner J P, Kennett B L N 1996 How to reconcile body-wave and normal-mode reference earth models. *Geophys. J. Int.* **125**, 229–248

Montagner J P, Tanimoto T 1991 Global upper mantle tomography of seismic velocities and anisotropy. *J. Geophys. Res.* **96**, 20,337–20,351

Morelli A, Dziewonski A M, Woodhouse J H 1986 Anisotropy of the core inferred from PKIKP travel times. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **13**, 1545–1548

Mueller G 1986 Rheological properties and velocity dispersion of a medium with power law dependence of Q in frequency. *J. Geophys.* **54**, 20–29

Nakanishi I 1978 Regional differences in the phase velocity and the quality factor Q of mantle Rayleigh waves. *Science* **200**, 1379–1381

Nakanishi I 1979a Attenuation of multiple ScS waves beneath the Japanese Arc. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **19**, 337–347

Nakanishi I 1979b Phase velocity and Q of mantle Rayleigh waves. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **58**, 35–59

Nakanishi I 1981 Shear velocity and shear attenuation models inverted from the worldwide and pure-path average data of mantle Rayleigh waves ($_0S_{25}$ to $_0S_{80}$) and fundamental spheroidal modes ($_0S_2$ to $_0S_{24}$). *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **66**, 83–130

Niazi M, Johnson L R 1992 Q in the inner core. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **74**, 55–62

Niu F, Wen L 2001 Hemispherical variations in seismic velocity at the top of the Earth's inner core. *Nature* **410**, 1081–1084

Nuttli O W 1973 Seismic wave attenuation and magnitude relations for eastern North America. *J. Geophys. Res.* **78**, 876–885

Nuttli O W 1978 A time-domain study of the attenuation of 10-Hz waves in the New Madrid seismic zone. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **68**, 343–355

Nuttli O W 1980 The excitation and attenuation of seismic crustal phases in Iran. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **70**, 469–484

O'Connell R J, Budiansky B 1978 Measures of dissipation in viscoelastic media. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **5**, 5–8

Ojeda A, Ottemöller L 2002 Q_{Lg} tomography in Colombia. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **130**, 253–270

Okal E A, Jo B G 2002 Q measurements for Phase X overtones. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **132**, 331–362

Oreshin S I, Vinnik L P 2004 Heterogeneity and anisotropy of seismic attenuation in the inner core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31**, doi:10.1029/2003GL018,591

Ottemöller L, Shapiro N M, Singh S K, Pacheco J F 2002 Lateral variation of Lg wave propagation in southern Mexico. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, B1,2008,10.1029/2001JB000,206

Park J 1987 Asymptotic coupled-mode expressions for multiplet amplitude anomalies and frequency shift on a laterally heterogeneous Earth. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **90**, 129–170

Patton H J, Taylor S R 1989 Q structure of the Basin and Range from surface waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **89**, 6929–6940

Peacock S, Hudson J A 1990 Seismic properties of rocks with distributions of small cracks. *Geophys. J. Int.* **102**, 471–484

Phillips S, Hartse H E, Taylor S R, Randall G E 2000 1 Hz Lg Q Tomography in Central Asia. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27**, 3425

Press F 1956 Rigidity of the Earth's core. *Science* **124**, 1204

Randall M J 1976 Attenuative dispersion and frequency shifts of the Earth's free oscillations. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **12**, P1–P4

Reid F J L, Woodhouse J H, van Heist H 2001 Upper mantle attenuation and velocity structure from measurements of differential S phases. *Geophys. J. Int.* **145**, 615–630

Resovsky J S, Ritzwoller M H 1998 New and refined constraints on three-dimensional Earth structure from normal modes below 3mHz. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**, 783–810

Resovsky J S, Trampert J, van der Hilst R D 2005 Error bars for the global seismic Q profile. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **230**, 413–423

Revenaugh J, Jordan T H 1989 A study of mantle layering beneath the western Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.* **94**, 5787–5813

Revenaugh J, Jordan T H 1991 Mantle layering from ScS reverberations, 2, The transition zone. *J. Geophys. Res.* **96**, 19,763–19,780

Richards P G, Menke W 1983 The apparent attenuation of a scattering medium. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **73**, 1005–1021

Romanowicz B 1987 Multiplet-multiplet coupling due to lateral heterogeneity: asymptotic effects on the amplitude and frequency of the Earth's normal modes. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **90**, 75–100

Romanowicz B 1990 The upper mantle degree 2: constraints and inferences on attenuation tomography from global mantle wave measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* **95**, 11,051–11,0710

Romanowicz B 1994a On the measurement of anelastic attenuation using amplitudes of low- frequency surface waves. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **84**, 179–191

Romanowicz B 1994b Anelastic tomography: a new perspective on upper-mantle thermal structure. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **128**, 113–121

Romanowicz B 1995 A global tomographic model of shear attenuation in the upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**, 12,375–12,394

Romanowicz B 1998 Attenuation tomography of the earths mantle: a review of current status. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 257–272

Romanowicz B 2002 Inversion of surface waves: a review. *in Handbook of Earthquake and Engineering Seismology, IASPEI, edited by W. H. K. Lee* **Part A**, 149–174

Romanowicz B, Durek J 2000 Seismological constraints on attenuation in the earth: a review. *Geophysical Monograph Series* **117**, 161–180

Romanowicz B, Gung Y 2002 Superplumes from the core-mantle boundary to the lithosphere: implications for heat-flux. *Science* **296**, 513–516

Romanowicz B, Roult G, Kohl T 1987 The upper mantle degree two pattern: constraints from Geoscope fundamental spheroidal model eigenfrequency and attenuation measurements. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **14**, 1219–1222

Rosat S, Sato T, Imanishi Y, Hinderer J, Tamura Y, McQueen H, Phashi M 2005 High resolution analysis of the gravest seismic normal modes after the 2005 Mw=9 Sumatra earthquake using superconducting gravimeter data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, 10.1029/2005GL023,128

Roth E, Wiens D A, Dorman L M, Hildebrand J, Webb S C 1999 Seismic attenuation tomography of the Tonga-Fiji region using phase pair methods. *J. Geophys. Res.* **104**, 4795–4809

Roth E, Wiens D A, Zhao D 2000 An empirical relationship between seismic attenuation and velocity anomalies in the upper mantle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27**, 601–604

Roult G 1975 Attenuation of seismic waves of very low frequency. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **10**, 159–166

Roult G 1982 The effect of young oceanic regions on the periods and damping of free oscillations of the earth. *J. Geophys.* **51**, 38–43

Roult G, Clévédé E 2000 New refinements in attenuation measurements from free oscillation and surface wave observations. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **121**, 1–37

Roult G, Romanowicz B, Montagner J P 1984 3D upper mantle shear velocity and attenuation from fundamental mode free oscillation data. *Geophys. J. Int.* **101**, 61–80

Roult G, Rosat S, Clévédé E, Millot-Langet R, Hinderer J 2006 New determination of Q quality factors eigenfrequencies for the whole set of singlets of Earth's normal modes $_0S_{0,0}$ $S_{2,0}$ $S_3 and _2S_1$, using Superconducting Gravimeer data from the GGP network. *J. Geodyn.* **41**, 345–357

Sacks I S 1969 Anelasticity of the Inner Core. *Ann. Rep. Dir. Depart. of Terr. Magnetism* **69**, 416–419

Sacks I S 1980 Qs of the lower mantle- a body wave determination. *Ann. Rep. Dir. Depart. of Terr. Magnetism* **79**, 508–512

Sailor R V, Dziewonski A M 1978 Measurements and interpretation of normal mode attenuation. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **53**, 559–581

Sarker G, Abers G A 1998 Comparison of seismic body wave and coda wave measures of Q. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* **153**, 665–683

Sarker G, Abers G A 1999 Lithospheric temperature estimates from seismic attenuation across range fronts in southern and central Eurasia. *geology* **27**, 427–430

Sato H, Sacks I S, Murase T, Muncill G, Fukuyama F 1989 Qp-melting temperature relation in peridotite at high pressure and temperature: attenuation mechanism and implications for the mechanical properties of the upper mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **94**, 10,647–10,661

Sato R, Espinosa A F 1967 Dissipation in the Earth's mantle and rigidity and viscosity in the Earth's core determined from waves multiply-reflected from the mantle-core boundary. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **57**, 829–856

Schlotterbeck B A, Abers G A 2001 Three-dimensional attenuation variations in southern California. *J. Geophys. Res.* **106**, 30,719–30,735

Selby N D, Woodhouse J H 2000 Controls on Rayleigh wave amplitudes: attenuation and focusing. *Geophys. J. Int.* **142**, 933–940

Selby N D, Woodhouse J H 2002 The Q structure of the upper mantle: Constraints from Rayleigh wave amplitudes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, 10.1029/20,001JB000,257

Shearer P 1994 Constraints on inner core anisotropy from PKP(DF travel times. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 19,647–19,659

Shearer P M 2006 Seismic scattering in the deep Earth. *Treatise on Geophysics* , in press

Sheehan A, Solomon S C 1992 Differential shear wave attenuation and its lateral variation in the north Atlantic region. *J. Geophys. Res.* **97**, 15,339–15,350

Shi J, Kim W Y, Richards P G 1996 Variability of crustal attenuation in the northeastern United States from *Lg* waves. *J. Geophys. Res.* **101**, 25,231–25,242

Shito A, Shibutan T 2003 Anelastic structure of the upper mantle beneath the northern Philippine Sea. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **140**, 319–329

Shito A, Shibutan T 2003a Anelastic structure of the upper mantle beneath the northern Philippine Sea. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **140**, 319–329

Shito A, Karato S, Park J 2004 Frequency dependence of Q in Earth's upper mantle inferred from continuous spectra of body waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31**, doi:10.1029/2004GL019,582

Singh S, Herrmann R B 1983 Regionalization of crustal Q in the continental United States. *J. Geophys. Res.* **88**, 527–538

Singh S C, Taylor M J, Montagner J P 2000 On the presence of liquid in the earth's inner core. *Science* **287**, 2471–2474

Sipkin S A, Jordan T H 1979 Frequency dependence of Q_{ScS}. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **69**, 1055–1079

Sipkin S A, Jordan T H 1980 Regional variation of QScS phases. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **70**, 1071–1102

Sipkin S A, Revenaugh J 1994 Regional variation of attenuation and travel times in China from analysis of multiple ScS phases. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 2687–2699

Smith M F, Masters G 1989 Aspherical structure constraints from free oscillation frequency and attenuation measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* **94**, 1953–1976

Smith M L, Dahlen F A 1981 The period and Q of the Chandler wobble. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **64**, 223–282

Smith S 1972 The anelasticity of the mantle. *Tectonoph.* **13**, 601–622

Sobolev S V, Zeyen H, Soll G, Werling F, Altherr R, Fuchs K 1996 Upper mantle temperatures from teleseismic tomography of French Massif Central including the effects of composition, mineral reactions, anharmonicity, anelasticity and partial melt. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **139**, 147–163

Solomon S C 1973 Seismic wave attenuation and melting beneath the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *J. Geophys. Res.* **78**, 6044–6059

Song X D, Helmberger D 1993 Anisotropy of the Earth's inner core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **20**, 285–288

Souriau A, Romanowicz B 1996 Anisotropy in inner core attenuation: a new type of data to constrain the nature of the solid core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23**, 1–4

Souriau A, Romanowicz B 1997 Anisotropy in the inner core: relation between P-velocity and attenuation. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **101**, 33–47

Souriau A, Roudil P 1995 Attenuation in the uppermost inner core from broadband GEOSCOPE PKP data. *Geophys. J. Int.* **123**, 572–587

Street R L 1976 Scaling northeastern United States/southeastern Canadian earthquakes by the *Lg* waves. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **66**, 1525–1537

Strick E 1967 The determination of Q, dynamic viscosity and creep curves from wave propagation measurements. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **13**, 197–218

Su W J, Dziewonski A M 1990 Inner core anisotropy in three dimensions. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**, 9831–9852

Suda N, Fukao Y 1990 Structure of the inner core inferred from observations of seismic core modes. *Geophys. J. Int.* **103**, 403–413

Suda N, Shibata N, Fukao Y 1991 Degree 2 pattern of attenuation structure in the upper mantle from apparent complex frequency measurements of fundamental spheroidal modes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **18**, 1119–1122

Suda N, Nawa K, Fukao Y 1998 Earth's background free oscillations. *Science* **279**, 2089–2091

Suetsugu D 2001 A low Q_{ScS} anomaly near the South Pacific Superswell. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 391–394

Sumita I, Olson P 1999 A laboratory model for convection in Earth's core driven by a thermally heterogeneous mantle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **286**, 1547–1549

Sutton G H, Mitronovas W, Pomeroy P W 1967 Short-period seismic energy radiation patterns from underground explosions and small-magnitude earthquakes. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **57**, 249–267

T L Tseng B S H, Chin B H 2001 Depth-dependent attenuation in the uppermost inner core from the Taiwan short period PKP data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 459–462

Tanaka S, Hamaguchi H 1997 Degree one heterogeneity and hemispherical variation of anisotropy in the inner core from PKP(BC)-PKP(DF) times. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 2925–2938

Teng T L 1968 Attenuation of body waves and the Q structure of the mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* **73**, 2195–2208

Thouvenot F 1983 Frequency dependence of the quality factor in the upper crust: A deep seismic sounding approach. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **73**, 427–447

Trampert J, Woodhouse J H 1995 Global phase velocity maps of Love and Rayleigh waves between 40 and 150 seconds. *Geophys. J. Int.* **122**, 675–690

Tryggvason E 1965 Dissipation of Rayleigh-wave energy. *J. Geophys. Res.* **70**, 1449–1455

Tsai Y B, Aki K 1969 Simultaneous determination of the seismic moment and attenuation of seismic surface waves. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **59**, 275–287

Ulug A, Berckhemer G 1984 Frequency dependence of Q for seismic body waves in the Earth's mantle. *J. Geophys.* **56**, 9–19

Utsu T 1967 Anomalies in seismic wave velocity and attenuation associated with a deep earthquake zone. *J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ.* **7**, 1–25

Vidale J E, Earle P S 2000 Fine-scale heterogeneity in the Earth's inner core. *nature* **404**, 273–275

Vinnik L P, Romanowicz B, Bréger L 1994 Anisotropy in the center of the Inner Core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **21**, 1671–1674

Warren L M, Shearer P M 2002 Mapping lateral variation in upper mantle attenuation by stacking P and PP spectra. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, 10.1029/2001JB001,195

Wen L, Niu F 2002 Seismic velocity and attenuation structures in the top of the Earth's inner core. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, doi:10.1029/2001JB000,170

Widmer R, Masters G, Gilbert F 1991 Spherically symmetric attenuation within the Earth from normal mode data. *Geophys. J. Int.* **104**, 541–553

Wilcock W S D, Solomon S C, Purdy G M, Toomey D R 1995 Seismic attenuation structure of the East Pacific Rise near 9° 30m N. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**, 24,147–24,165

Wong Y K 1989 *Upper mantle heterogeneity from phase and amplitude data of mantle waves.* Ph.d. dissertation, Harvard Univ.,Cambridge, MA

Woodhouse J H, Wong Y K 1986 Amplitude, phase and path anomalies of mantle waves. *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.* **87**, 753–773

Xie J, Mitchell B J 1990a Attenuation of multiphase surface waves in the Basin and Range province, I. *Lg* and *Lg* coda. *Geophys. J. Int.* **102**, 121–127

Xie J, Mitchell B J 1990b A back-projection method for imaging large-scale lateral variations of *Lg* coda *Q* with application to continental Africa. *Geophys. J. Int.* **100**, 161–181

Xie J, Nuttli O W 1988 Interpretation of high-frequency coda at large distances: Stochastic modeling and method of inversion. *Geophys. J.* **95**, 579–595

Xie J, Gok R, Ni J, Aoki Y 2004 Lateral variations of crustal seismic attenuation along the INDEPTH profiles in Tibet from *LgQ* inversion. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, B10,308, doi:10.1029/2004JB002,988

Xie J, Wu X, Liu R, Schaff D, Liu Y, Liang J 2006 Tomographic regionalization of crustal *Lg Q* in eastern Eurasia. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33**, L03,315, doi:10.1029/2005GL024,410

Yacoub N K, Mitchell B J 1977 Attenuation of Rayleigh wave amplitudes across Eurasia. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **67**, 751–769

Yoshida M, Tsujiura M 1975 Spectrum and attenuation of multiply-reflected core phases. *J. Phys. Earth* **23**, 31–42

Yu W, Wen L 2006 Seismic velocity and attenuation structures in the top 400 km of the Earth's inner core along equatorial paths. *J. Geophys. Res.* **111**, doi:10.1029/2005JB003,995

Zhang T, Lay T 1994 Analysis of short-period regional phase path effects associated with topography in Eurasia. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **84**, 119–132